TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

MOTOR VEHICLE INDUSTRY REGULATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (MVIRAC) MEETING

OPEN MEETING VIA TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL

PUBLIC PHYSICAL LOCATION
Texas Department of Motor Vehicles
4000 Jackson Avenue
Building 1
Lone Star Room
Austin, Texas 78731

Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:02 a.m.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

David Blassingame, Presiding Officer Michael Bradburn Mark Brown Christopher Donnelly Laird Doran Rita Edwards (absent) Buddy Ferguson Joshua Greenlaw (absent) Russell Hayter Jeff Martin William Murphy Steve Prather Franklin Sims (absent) Trey Sralla Scott Stark Kalien Thomas (absent) Jimmy Vitela (absent) Greg Zak

I N D E X

AGEN:	DA ITI	<u>EM</u>	PAGE
1.	CALL	TO ORDER	3
	Roll	Call and Establishment of Quorum	6
2.	DISCUA.	USSION, BRIEFING, AND ACTION ITEMS Implementation Plan for Advisory Committee Recommendations Regarding House Bill 3927 I. Overview and History of Temporary Tag ii. Implementation of House Bill 3927; Ta Denial and Maximum Tag Limits	S
	В.	Recommendations of Advisory Committee for Presentation to the Department	96
3.	PUBL:	IC COMMENT n	one
4.	ADJO	URNMENT	109

2.3

PROCEEDINGS

MR. BLASSINGAME: Good morning. My name is
David Blassingame, and I'm pleased to open the second
meeting of the Motor Vehicle Industry Regulation Advisory
Committee. For easy reference, I will refer to this
advisory committee as M-V-I-R-A-C, which is the acronym
for this committee.

It is 9:02 a.m., and I'm now calling the MVIRAC meeting for September 14, 2021 to order. I want to note for the record that the public notice of this meeting, containing all the items on the agenda, was filed with the Office of Secretary of State on September 3, 2021.

This meeting is being held by telephone conference call in accordance with the Texas Government Code, Chapter 551.125. Members of the public may physically attend this meeting in person at 4000 Jackson Avenue, Building 1, Lone Star Room, Austin, Texas, 78731, or attend this meeting by calling the toll-free telephone number which is posted in our agenda which was filed with the Office of Secretary of State on September 3, 2021.

We have ten members at least -- pardon me -- I don't know that we have any members in person. The vast majority of the committee members, including myself, will be participating remotely via telephone conference call.

At this time will all attendees please mute

your phone for the entire duration of this meeting. I am asking our meeting host to make sure all participants' phones are muted except for the advisory committee members and those who are presenting. Callers will be removed for any disruption, including background noise.

2.3

I would like to remind all participants that this is a telephone conference call meeting. Because this meeting is being held by telephone conference call, there are a few things that will assist in making the meeting run smoother and assist the court reporter in getting an accurate record: please identify yourself before speaking; speak clearly; remember that there might be a slight delay due to the telephone conference call meeting, so please wait a little longer than usual before responding to participants; do not speak over others; and please ask the presiding officer to proceed and be sure to get recognized before speaking.

I would to also thank our court reporter who is transcribing the meeting. To make sure that we have an accurate recording of this meeting, it is very important that the board members and anyone presenting today identify themselves before speaking and speak clearly and slowly.

If you wish to address this advisory committee or speak to an agenda item during today's meeting, please

send an email to GCO_General@TxDMV.gov. Please identify in your email the specific item that you are interested in commenting on, your name and address, and whether you are representing anyone or speaking for yourself.

2.3

If your comment does not pertain to a specific agenda item, we will take your comment during the general public comment portion of the meeting. Comments should be pertinent to the issues stated in your email. When addressing the advisory committee, please state your name and affiliation on the record.

Before we begin today, I'd like to remind all presenters and those in attendance of the rules of conduct of our board meetings. In the department's rules under 43 TAC Section 206.22, the presiding officer is given authority to supervise the conduct of the meeting.

This includes the authority to determine whether a speaker is being disruptive of the meeting or is otherwise violating the timing or presentation rules I have just discussed. Disruptive speakers will be muted, given a warning about disruptive behavior, and then removed from the meeting for any continued disruption.

Advisory committee members, please let us know immediately if you are no longer able to participate for any reason. If your phone call drops and you are disconnected, Texas DMV staff will interrupt the meeting

1	to let us know to get you back on the line before we
2	proceed with the agenda.
3	And now I'd like to have a roll call for the
4	advisory committee members. Please respond verbally when
5	I call your name. Please indicate if you are present.
6	Member Bradburn?
7	MR. BRADBURN: Present.
8	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Brown?
9	MR. BROWN: Present.
10	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Donnelly? Member
11	Donnelly?
12	(No response.)
13	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Doran?
14	MR. DORAN: Present, and can you confirm that
15	you can hear me?
16	MR. BLASSINGAME: I can hear you clear.
17	MR. DORAN: Thank you.
18	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Edwards? Member
19	Edwards?
20	(No response.)
21	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Ferguson?
22	MR. FERGUSON: Present.
23	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Greenlaw? Member
24	Greenlaw?
25	(No response.)

1		MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Hayter?
2		MR. HAYTER: Russell Hayter, present.
3		MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Hayter, present.
4		Member Martin?
5		MR. MARTIN: Present.
6		MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Murphy? Member
7	Murphy?	
8		(No response.)
9		MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Prather?
10		MR. PRATHER: Present.
11		MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Sims? Member Sims?
12		(No response.)
13		MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Sralla?
14		MR. SRALLA: Present.
15		MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Stark?
16		MR. STARK: Present. Good morning.
17		MR. BLASSINGAME: Good morning.
18		Member Thomas? Member Thomas?
19		(No response.)
20		MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Vitela? Member
21	Vitela?	
22		(No response.)
23		MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Zak?
24		MR. ZAK: Present.
25		MR. BLASSINGAME: I am present also, so we have

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

a quorum because we have more than ten members present.

2.3

Members, I will now move on to agenda item 2.A. Implementation plan for advisory committee recommendations regarding House Bill 3927. And I will turn the meeting over to Corrie Thompson, director of the Enforcement Division, and Monique Johnston, director of the Motor Vehicle Division, and Roland Luna, director of the Vehicle Titles and Registration Division, to lead the discussion the implementation of House Bill 3927.

MS. THOMPSON: Good morning, Presiding Officer Blassingame and members. This is Corrie Thompson, director of the Enforcement Division.

And again, I just wanted to remind everybody that the purpose of the meeting today is to mainly talk about implementation of House Bill 3927, which has given the department authority to deny a dealer's or converter's access to the temporary tag database if it's found that tags have been fraudulently obtained from the system. So again, that is any tags that are accessible to licensed dealers in the system through eTAG -- and then also to discuss how we go about setting limits on the maximum number of tags licensees can issue per calendar year.

So during the last meeting I presented a briefing document concerning the temporary tag access, denial provisions that the department plans to implement

through administrative rulemaking, hoping to present 1 proposed rule language at the next board meeting that is 3 happening at the end of October. And I directed everybody 4 to a document in the packet that last time was on page 12 5 of the meeting materials. 6 And I just wanted to check with everybody, see 7 if there was any questions about that information that was presented in that briefing document before we move on to 8 9 the bulk of the discussion today, which will likely

surround the setting of the limits on number of tags

(No response.)

dealers can issue.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MS. THOMPSON: All right. Well, hearing no questions, then I am going to go ahead and kick this over to Motor Vehicle Division Director Monique Johnston.

Oh, I do see hands up, so if those are hands,
Officer Blassingame, for caller users I think I see 5 and
13, unless those were hands from roll call that I missed,
there may be questions from call-in users.

MR. BLASSINGAME: Okay. So Call-in number 5, do you have a question for the committee? Call-in number 5?

MR. MARTIN: Chairman Blassingame?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Yes.

MR. MARTIN: This is Member Martin. I don't

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	think that the callers know their number, so when you call
2	on caller number 5, they don't know who you're calling on.
3	You may just want to ask if someone has unmuted
4	themselves on the phone and give them the opportunity to
5	speak.
6	MR. BLASSINGAME: Perfect. Has anyone unmuted
7	themselves on the phone? Would you like an opportunity to
8	speak? Has anyone unmuted themselves on the telephone?
9	MR. RICHARDS: Presiding Officer Blassingame, I
. 0	believe those hands were up when they were initial roll
.1	call, I don't believe they're wishing to speak right now.
.2	MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you.
.3	Then back to you, Director Thompson.
4	MS. THOMPSON: Corrie Thompson, director of the
. 5	Enforcement Division. If I may have the floor, Officer
6	Blassingame?
.7	MR. BLASSINGAME: Yes, ma'am.
. 8	MS. THOMPSON: Yes. General Counsel David
. 9	Richards, can we provide any guidance to call-in users on
20	how to unraise hands.
21	MR. RICHARDS: We're doing it right now. Thank
22	you.
23	MS. THOMPSON: Okay, awesome. Thank you.
24	And so with that it sounded like there were no
5	questions on the documentation provided in the briefing

concerning tag access denial, so with that, again I will kick this over to Monique Johnston, director of the Motor Vehicle Division to open up discussion points for the setting of temporary tag limits.

2.3

MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you, Director Thompson.

Good morning, Presiding Officer Blassingame and members. I am Monique Johnston, director of the Motor Vehicle Division. Again, thank you for your participation in our second MVIRAC meeting.

As a recap from our meeting last week, House Bill 3927 has authorized TxDMV to establish maximum number of temporary tags that a dealer or converter may obtain in a calendar year. The department is currently drafting rules to implement temporary tag maximum limits based on the provisions outlined in HB 3927 and is seeking your input to develop metrics for setting these limits.

Just kind of going over a little what we discussed last week, when considering the metric to set maximum tag limits we need to consider balancing multiple needs, including preventing fraud, enabling lawfully operating dealers and converters to continue operating efficiently, and minimizing any administrative burden on both license holders and the TxDMV.

As just a background, dealers and converters issue the following types of temporary tags: dealer tags

that are used when transferring vehicles purchased from other dealers, for test drives, and when vehicles require servicing; buyer's tags which are used after the purchase in the interim period between the time a title and metal plates are provided to the buyer; and preprinted internet down tags which are temporary tags that can be printed ahead of time in case of a internet or system outage.

1.3

2.3

HB 3927 specified several factors that could be used in setting the maximum annual limit, including the time a dealer is in operation, sales data, expected growth, expected market changes in the dealer's or converter's market, temporary conditions that may affect sales, and any other information the TxDMV considers relevant.

At this time I'm going to turn the floor over to Clint Thompson, deputy director of Vehicle Titles and Registration, or VTR Division, to provide an overview of the data that the department has available and how this information could be used to create a reasonable metric for us to set the maximum tag limits.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, ma'am. Good morning.

Clint Thompson, deputy director of Vehicle Titles and

Registration Division.

Presiding Officer Blassingame, can I have the floor, please?

MR. BLASSINGAME: You may.

2.3

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.

As Director Johnston said, I do want to provide a quick overview of the data we have available and discuss how it could possibly be used to establish those maximums in conjunction with the other factors that Director Johnston just mentioned.

We have three data sources available with storable information, the first being eTAG. Obviously we've discussed this, it's the web-based application that licensed dealers and converters use to issue all types of tags.

We have the Registration and Title System which our county tax assessor-collectors use to process various transactions, including title transactions. And then we have eLICENSING, obviously, our eLICENSING application.

And so looking at these three data sources, the distinct data elements that we've identified, starting with eTAG, we have all tags issued by dealers and the ability to break down those different tags. So I know Director Johnston has talked about the various tags, but even within that, we have the ability to identify buyer tags issued to Texas residents, so what that should constitute is a retail sale to a Texas resident.

We also have the ability to distinguish between

buyer tags issued to out-of-state residents. In addition, we have the ability to identify the vehicle-specific tags issued, agent tags issued, things of that nature, as well as voids, to account for all types of tags issued and all tag activity within the eTAG application.

1.3

2.3

As far as data from the Registration and Title System, what we've identified are title transactions processed by the counties where a particular dealer number, the P number, has been entered into the title event. What this does is it provides us a snapshot of all title applications submitted by licensed dealers in the State of Texas, and it gives us a validation point to compare to the buyer tags issued to Texas residents.

Now, you should have, in theory, a buyer tag issued to a Texas resident and a corresponding title application. We know that that, in fact, is not always a one for one. Given dealers at times issuing multiple tags, we have identified administrative issue through this, but nonetheless, comparing the title applications from the Registration and Title System to the buyer tags issued to Texas residents gives us a viable data point relative to total vehicle sales in the State of Texas.

And then also, another comparison that we have is the sales information available with eLICENSING, which this is self-reported by franchised dealers at the time of

license renewal.

2.3

And again, these are not absolute data points, they are being used to compare to each individual data point for a check on the validity of the various information that we've received. But again, the thrust behind this is to identify potential vehicle sales for individual dealers over a various period of years and to use that to come up with the maximum that is reasonable for a dealer to need relative to buyer tags, and then also, obviously, factoring in the other variables that we just mentioned.

And Director Johnston, I will turn it back over to you.

MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you, Director Thompson.

May I have the floor, Presiding Officer Blassingame?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Yes, ma'am.

MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you.

Our General Counsel's Office emailed committee members a document on Friday that included some questions for the committee to consider, as well as the general proposed process for notifications when a dealer is close to reaching their max tag limit, and when they should be able to request additional tags. I hope you've all had an opportunity to review this document.

And Presiding Officer Blassingame, we can 1 2 either go over the questions or open it up to the committee if they have questions about the specific 3 4 process or any input they would like to provide on how we 5 would set our max tag limits. 6 MR. BLASSINGAME: Let's open it up to the 7 members of the committee that have any questions or comments on the document that was sent out. 8 9 Anyone on the committee have any questions 10 about the document that was sent out by the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles? 11 12 MR. DONNELLY: Can you hear me? This is Chris 13 Donnelly. 14 MR. BLASSINGAME: Chris Donnelly, you have the 15 floor. 16 MR. DONNELLY: Thank you so much. 17 In trying to come up with limits, I talked to several dealers. While everybody overwhelmingly agrees 18 19 there needs to be limits, especially when you come in 20 contact with the stories behind this, how quickly would an 21 approval take place? 22 Say for example, if somebody gets a 2.3 notification that says, hey, you're coming close to your 24 such and such, please provide X information for an

increase, if we can have a fair rapid turnaround, like a

25

five-day turnaround or something like that's a reasonable time frame, then we could have lower limits. Or do we need to build in a cushion to have more time because a review would take longer than that?

2.3

MS. JOHNSTON: Presiding Officer Blassingame, this is Director Johnston. May I have the floor, please?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Yes, Director Johnston.

MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you. Yes, we definitely, in resetting the max limits, would have a cushion. What we've been discussing is looking at the range of the data that Director Thompson provided and then providing maybe a percentage over that to have a cushion.

We also in the process would like to have notifications issued to the dealers letting them know, just like when they get their renewal notices, that they are getting closer to the max tag limit and they would be able to have sufficient time to contact the department and request additional tags and provide the information. And the division will be setting up a process to hopefully have a very quick turnaround, just like we do with initially processing our license applications and renewals, initially processing these requests for additional tags.

But again, the notifications we would hope to be many notifications, not just right before a dealer is

about to reach their limit and also have some sort of kind 1 2 of maybe cushion built in with that range of max limits. 3 MR. RICHARDS: Officer Blassingame, may I be recognized? This is David Richards. 4 5 MR. BLASSINGAME: Yes. 6 MR. RICHARDS: Members, I just want to remind 7 you on the meeting invitation you received, the document that Director Johnston is referring to was attached, so 8 9 you can reference that as well if you don't have it in 10 front of you. Thank you. 11 Thank you. MR. BLASSINGAME: 12 Any further comment? 13 MR. SRALLA: This is Member Sralla. May I have 14 the floor? 15 MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Sralla, you may have 16 the floor. 17 MR. SRALLA: What I was looking at, or wonder if the state should consider like a tiered system when it 18 19 comes to notification for temporary tag limits. Once a 20 tag limit is set, maybe a tiered system starting at like 60 percent to 75 or 90 percent so that the legitimate 21 22 dealers can start making plans if they start to see that 2.3 something has changed in their business model or in their 24 local market to increase over what they would expect.

And I think that it would even be prudent for

25

1	the department to maybe have a verbal conversation or a
2	pretty strong conversation with any dealer that reaches 85
3	percent of their limit in the first six months of a
4	calendar year. So there could be a conversation, be a lot
5	of open dialogue so that we can nip this in the bud with
6	the non-legitimate people, but make sure the legitimate
7	people can still stay in business and run their business
8	properly.
9	MR. BLASSINGAME: Any comments?
10	MR. PRATHER: This is Steve Prather. May I
11	speak?
12	MR. BLASSINGAME: Steve Prather, you have the
13	floor.
14	MR. PRATHER: Thank you.
15	Is it the intention to set a number adequate
16	for the dealer's yearly sales initially with a little
17	consideration for increased business, or is the idea to
18	set it down so everybody is going to have to request extra
19	tags at one point or the other?
20	MR. BLASSINGAME: Staff?
21	MR. THOMPSON: This is Clint Thompson, deputy
22	director of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division.
23	Presiding Officer Blassingame, may I have the
24	floor?
25	MR. BLASSINGAME: Yes, you can.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MR. THOMPSON: [audio interference].
2	MR. RICHARDS: Officer Blassingame, this is
3	David Richards. May I be recognized?
4	MR. BLASSINGAME: David Richards, you're
5	recognized.
6	MR. RICHARDS: Yes, please. Members, when
7	you're not speaking please turn off your mic. We're
8	getting a lot of background. Ask your question, make your
9	comment, and then mute yourself again so we can hear
10	everybody.
11	I didn't understand what Mr. Thompson said, so
12	I would ask him to repeat that if he would not mind,
13	please.
14	Thank you.
15	MR. BLASSINGAME: Assistant Director Thompson,
16	would you repeat that, please?
17	MR. THOMPSON: Absolutely. And I apologize for
18	the feedback. Clint Thompson, deputy director of Vehicle
19	Titles and Registration.
20	I'll give you the short answer. Absolutely we
21	are looking at actual sales data that we have available
22	from those various sources that I spoke on, and we are
23	looking at an increase in expected growth and other
24	variables which are outlined in the bill.
25	So our intent is not to ratchet this down so

tightly as to require folks who are conducting legitimate 1 business to contact the department just to conduct that 3 business. We don't want to put a burden on folks that 4 they have to continually contact us for additional tags, 5 so we are certainly allowing for continued growth and 6 other variables there. 7 MR. BLASSINGAME: Any questions about what 8 Assistant Director Thompson said? 9 MR. PRATHER: This is Steve Prather. 10 another question or two, if I may. MR. BLASSINGAME: Steve Prather, you may have 11 the floor. 12 Thank you. 1.3 MR. PRATHER: 14 In the past, your experience with these, what 15 we called them the other day, bad actors, or as a couple 16 of people said criminals. One of the qualifications you 17 mentioned is length of time in business. Have you found any long-term business person 18 19 that's licensed by y'all to be a bad actor or a criminal, 20 or are they all fairly recent licensees? 21 MS. THOMPSON: Corrie Thompson. 22 MR. BLASSINGAME: Corrie Thompson, you have the 2.3 floor. 24 MS. THOMPSON: Thank you. Just to address 25 that, again, I don't have the data specifically in front

of me, but I can tell you over the past two years that 99 percent of the instances, I am comfortable saying, are newly licensed dealers that are found to be fraudulently obtaining and issuing tags from the system, not people who have been licensed for five-plus years, anything like that. The pattern that we're seeing is people obtain the license and then immediately start getting into the excessive issuance.

2.3

MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Director.

MR. BRADBURN: Member Bradburn. I've had my hand up. Can I speak, please?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Bradburn, you have the floor.

MR. BRADBURN: Thank you.

A couple of comments. One on the letter sent out, I don't believe on doing a year limit. If we refer to what Corrie Thompson -- by first name, there's two Thompsons here -- the 500 tag report, I've ran that for over a year as well.

You're going to have 25 non-franchised dealers there, a handful are selling tags, the rest are like CarMax. That gives you an idea. If you fast forward -- I'm lead investigator on this case that's nationwide -- one of the recent dealers that's licensed, they did 17,000 tags in the first week, so if you give them 12,000 tags in

a year, they're going to do that the first week.

1.3

2.3

As far as what the other Director Thompson said earlier, Clint, about eLICENSING, just to prove eLICENSING cannot show you it's a bad tag, just this morning as part of my undercover duties I bought a tag online. I used MVIRAC Trucking as the dealer, I used the DMV address, a fake VIN, I was given a tag within ten minutes on the internet. If I were to put that on a big rig vehicle and go do whatever crime I wanted to do, nobody would know it's not a bad tag.

These are criminals and I just want to make it clear that we need to shut them off fast and limit the numbers.

Thank you.

MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you.

Any comment from staff?

MR. THOMPSON: Clint Thompson, deputy director of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division. If I could have the floor.

MR. BLASSINGAME: You have the floor, Mr. Thompson.

MR. THOMPSON: Member Bradburn, the bill itself speaks to allowing for tag issuance for a year.

Certainly understand the concerns, but part of this analysis in looking at this data is doing the comparison

of buyer tag issuance, both in state and out of state, relative to our title transfers that we have in the Registration and Title System. And what that does for us is it identifies outliers. It identifies these folks that are issuing, to your point, 17-, 18,000 tags and have no corresponding title applications.

1.3

2.3

Once we identify those folks, we're certainly not going to give them, if they have not been revoked already through the administrative process, we're not going to give those folks 17,000 tags. We have some outliers in addition to newly licensed dealers that are not going to have that sales data, that we're going to have to come up with metrics to establish reasonable maximums for those folks.

But in addition to using the various data points that we have to establish reasonable maximums, both to allow for business to be conducted but also not to permit fraud by these folks that are not conducting legitimate businesses -- I believe it was Member Sralla earlier asking about indicators -- we envision both indicators on the dealer side about the percent use of their annual limit as well as on the department side.

So if we have somebody who is using 50 percent of their annual limit in the first month, we're going to identify that, and that's the other provision of this bill

that gives us the opportunity to look at access denial as opposed to just letting them hit their maximum.

2.3

And then relative to the eLICENSING data, that's just another data point that we can use to validate the total tag issuance and the title applications relative to franchised dealers. So again, the intent is to use all these data elements in conjunction with each other to establish reasonable tag limits for each individual dealer.

MR. BRADBURN: Member Bradburn. If I can respond. I totally agree with what you're doing, Mr. Thompson, the right idea.

If we can shut the dealers off and do what you're doing in combination, it's going to be a win-win.

I just wanted to throw that out there and I appreciate your comment.

MS. THOMPSON: Officer Blassingame, Corrie
Thompson, director of the Enforcement Division. If I may
have the floor for a moment?

MR. BLASSINGAME: You may have the floor.

MS. THOMPSON: I just wanted to ask for a clarification from information Mr. Bradburn provided with regard to obtaining tags. Were you referencing obtaining a temporary permit, like the 72- or 144-hour permits, or were you specifically talking about obtaining a buyer tag

1	or a dealer tag from some entity like on Craigslist or
2	Facebook?
3	MR. BRADBURN: Good question. Member Bradburn.
4	I bought a tag online this morning via
5	Facebook. I obtained a buyer tag issued by I can't say
6	the dealer name because of the ongoing investigation, but
7	it's a licensed dealer from August 26, and it's a buyer
8	tag.
9	MS. THOMPSON: Corrie Thompson, director of the
10	Enforcement Division.
11	Thank you for clarifying, Member Bradburn.
12	MR. BLASSINGAME: Questions for the
13	committee staff? Pardon me.
14	MR. PRATHER: This is Steve Prather again. May
15	I speak?
16	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Prather, you have the
17	floor.
18	MR. PRATHER: Thank you.
19	Is it such that the rules that are made or
20	the recommendations by this committee that go to the board
21	and the rules that are then made, are they going to be
22	something that's firm? Or if it's a little bit too loose
23	we can come back and tighten it down at a later time so
24	that we don't overburden anybody, including the staff at
25	DMV and also the dealer body?

Is it something we can tighten up down the road 1 2 if we leave it a little too loose? 3 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Bradburn. 4 MR. BRADBURN: If you're calling for me, Member 5 Bradburn, I was not commenting, that was Mr. Prather. 6 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Mr. Prather. 7 MR. THOMPSON: Clint Thompson, deputy director 8 of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division. If I can 9 have the floor, Presiding Officer Blassingame? 10 MR. BLASSINGAME: You have the floor. MR. THOMPSON: Member Prather, once we come up 11 12 with recommendations from the advisory committee and move 1.3 forward in the rulemaking process, I think we touched on 14 this at the last meeting, it does go to our board for 15 proposal for public comment, and we have a 30-day comment 16 period that folks can come and provide that public 17 The department then has the opportunity to 18 address those public comments through the rulemaking 19 process and make any necessary amendments to the rule 20 before moving forward to a subsequent board meeting for 21 adoption. 22 Once those rules are adopted they are in place 2.3 and would require board action to change, so that the goal 24 here would be to get this right the first time, obviously.

And to consider the various factors involved, like I

25

touched on previously, about coming up with reasonable limits to allow folks who are conducting legitimate business to be able to conduct legitimate business and not bump their heads against this maximum limit every day, every week, every month. It's really about establishing those reasonable limits and preventing folks who don't have legitimate sales from being able to abuse the system.

2.3

But do we have the opportunity to amend administrative rule once it's adopted by our board? Yes, we do. But again, it's a process to come back and open the rules after a certain time period and address them again and go back through the public comment period and adoption process.

MR. PRATHER: This is Steve Prather again. May I speak again?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Steve Prather, you have the floor.

MR. PRATHER: Okay. The other day in the visit we had on the telephone it was a pretty well-identified fact that the motor vehicle franchised dealers have not had any violations of this type. Is there any way we can set different numbers for independent dealers?

And I've had independent dealer license myself and I've had franchised dealer license myself and I understand the difference in the two. But is there any

way we can categorize those as independent dealers and franchised dealers in separate pools, if you may?

2.3

And the reason I say that, myself having had both independent license and franchised dealer license, the requirements from facilities to capital to unencumbered capital -- which means you can't owe anybody the money, it's got to be your cash -- the rules are completely different in those two license categories.

MS. THOMPSON: Officer Blassingame?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Yes. Corrie Thompson, you have the floor.

MR. RICHARDS: Steve, please mute your speaker.

MS. THOMPSON: Thank you, Officer Blassingame.

Corrie Thompson, director of the Enforcement Division.

we're looking to establish right now. And to the question can it be different for franchised dealers versus independent dealers, the answer is yes. Can it be different for -- I heard a tier structure proposed, could it be different for different volume independent dealers even so the number be different for the specific subclasses of types of dealers. We have motorcycle dealers, trailer dealers, regular used car/light truck dealers, we have some dealers that are solely internet dealers that have very light volume sales.

Yes, it could be tiered and distinguished a number of different ways. That's what we're here to get feedback from the committee members on about potentially how you would like to see that broken down.

1.3

2.3

It could be blanket tiers for different groups. It could be based on potentially actual tag issuance data that we have in the system, as posed by the Vehicle Titles and Registration Division when we opened the meeting and started the discussion. That information was provided to you to let you know what we have at hand so that we can start discussing how these different things can and should be broken down.

And we do want to give some leeway so that people are not having to come to the department on a routine basis and routinely ask for more tags, because obviously that would create an administrative burden on the department to some extent if we ratchet it down too tight. But could it be different for people who have been licensed for a period of years versus how newly licensed dealers start out? Yes, that is all open for discussion.

MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Director Thompson.

MR. SRALLA: Trey Sralla. May I have the floor, please?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Sralla, you have the floor.

MR. SRALLA: Thank you.

2.3

I do agree on a tier system. Now, just to clarify, the tier system I was speaking of earlier was more on the communication with the dealer or the license holder or you're getting this close to the amount of licenses you have, the amount of temporary tags you have available, or whatnot.

Also while I have the floor, let me speak to them on the motorcycle side. Typically on the motorcycle side, there's not as many test drives as on the car side of the thing, so generally a motorcycle sale will result in one tag, one temporary buyer tag per sale. You know, you do have dealer tags, you have a few dealer tags, but generally there's just not as many test drives.

And the last comment that I have is that the biggest thing that I think we ought to consider is making sure we don't ratchet down the buyer tags so much. But the internet down tags, I do think that those need to be fairly limited, because if we don't limit that pretty strongly, then that could just be an easy way for somebody else to cheat the system.

It's 2021, most people have -- if the internet goes down, most people have a smart phone that they can put a hotspot on. They have a hotspot in their dealership or their place of business to where they can turn on the

hotspot on one computer to print a temporary buyer tag. 1 2 Thank you. 3 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Sralla. 4 MR. DORAN: This is Member Doran. May I be 5 recognized? 6 MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Doran, you have the 7 floor. 8 MR. DORAN: Thank you. 9 As it relates to the factors that were laid out 10 in the bill, there's five factors which sound as though 11 they are non-exclusive, and then the sixth factor is any 12 information that the DMV may consider relevant. Going 1.3 back to a point that was made by one of the other members earlier about the need to differentiate between franchised 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

history in place.

And in that instance, I would encourage the department to work with the distributor or the manufacturer to obtain what the manufacturer or distributor has determined is the planning volume for that dealership location. That is an estimate that has been reached in coordination and conjunction between the

dealers and independent dealer, I think in the case of a

franchised dealer I want to speak to the situation where

you have an open point and at that point in time it's a

new dealership location, and therefore, there's no sales

manufacturer, distributor and that dealership on the anticipated sales volume that they should expect.

2.3

That is the rule of thumb that they are using to determine what is the right size facility and really what is the right size for their investment. So that is a good reference point, I think, for the DMV to have.

The other point I wanted to make is, in terms of factors, I think the DMV should be able to consider whether that franchised dealer is a fleet dealer. I think one of the concerns that I have is -- and this goes back to some comments that some of the members have been making about the timing or cadence of the notification coming from the department to alert the dealer when they're getting close to exhausting their tags.

But I think the biggest fear that we would have would be, you know, it's 3:00 p.m. on a very busy Saturday selling day at the end of the month and somebody walks in and says, you know, I want to order 1,000 pickup trucks for my plumbing company or for the cable company. And that spike in the sales volume is going to immediately, and I would say almost prematurely in comparison to probably what they'd been tracking, put them in excess of their threshold, and so we wouldn't want that to happen.

And so part of, I think, our concern and our angst comes around when that notification would come

versus when the dealer would exceed their threshold. So
the more frequent the notification that the department can
provide to the dealers, I think the less likely that risk
comes to bear.

But I would be a big supporter of the DMV
considering the planning volume as provided by the
manufacturer or distributor as it relates to a franchised

considering the planning volume as provided by the manufacturer or distributor as it relates to a franchised dealership that has not been in operation very long and therefore has little to no sales history, and also the consideration of whether or not they are selling commercial fleet vehicles or government fleet vehicles.

Thank you.

MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you.

Staff?

2.3

MR. THOMPSON: Clint Thompson, deputy director of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division. If I can have the floor, Presiding Officer Blassingame?

MR. BLASSINGAME: You have the floor, Director Thompson.

MR. THOMPSON: Member Doran and Member Sralla,
I appreciate the comments. And certainly that's one of
things, Member Doran, we're looking at for folks who do
not have that sales history, what are the criteria that we
need to consider, so thank you for that.

I do want to clarify that's one of the reasons

that we have looked at the actual tag issuance and title applications, things of that nature historically, that way we can identify by franchised dealer specific to that GDN, specific to a DBA, so their general distinguishing number, they're doing business as if they have a separate one.

2.3

And also for the independents, we know they have various volumes of independent vehicle sales, and that's why we were looking at the actual sales volume. That way we don't have to touch on this franchised dealer, that their years in business are going to be reflected in their sales volume, whether they're franchised or whether they're independent.

I just want to go back to a previous comment. So I just want to make sure we're looking at these tag maximums separately, so buyer tags are to be issued to a customer when they make a retail sale, and it's one buyer tag per retail sale.

We understand there are administrative violations that occur today where dealers do issue multiple tags. Even though that's an administrative violation, we are identifying that by comparing it to the title applications and taking that increased volume into account as well. That way when it's not fraudulent use and it's merely an administrative violation, we're not penalizing folks, if you will, by making them hit that

maximum volume.

1.3

2.3

So when you have folks conducting a test drive we have other tags, vehicle-specific tags, that they can put on these vehicles. We're monitoring those volumes as well, like the agent tags and things of that nature, to make sure that we're identifying based on historical use the appropriate allotment per dealer. Again, the historical use should evidence what that dealer does as far as total volume of sales, test drives, things of that nature, so we're certainly contemplating that.

Going back to both Members Uralla's and Doran's comments about the alerts, we have the ability to build an alert in that the dealer can see within the application that they have 50 percent or 75 percent or whatever the metric is, but then also, again to combat the fraud issue that we spoke on earlier, to give the department the same alerts, if you will, at tag threshold.

That way folks have the information that they need to do business and to make the appropriate contact with the department if they realize, hey, we do have a significant sales month or quarter, or whatever the case may be. And they can proactively reach out to the department and get those maximums increased based on those sales rather than having to wait to the last minute in the event that they do have a large purchase come in.

Thank you. 1 2 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Director Thompson. 3 Any other comments? MR. BRADBURN: Member Bradburn. Can I speak, 4 5 please? 6 MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Bradburn, you have the 7 floor. 8 MR. BRADBURN: I want to agree with what Clint 9 Thompson just said there. I also want to talk back to Mr. Prather. I 10 11 believe on the franchised side we need to be very, very 12 They have not been the problem on the criminal 1.3 side, period. We need to separate them. 14 On the independent dealers we also need to tier 15 there because dealers like CarMax, Vroom, they sell a lot 16 of cars. And I think on the tier system that we should 17 have one year or newer, make that very restrictive. I have to be careful what I share with this 18 19 committee but with the 500 tag report a month, if we made 20 that 750, divided it by a year, if the DMV could provide 21 the numbers, it would show most of the new dealers are 22 selling way below 500 tags. And I would be curious to see 2.3 if the DMV has come up with an actual suggested number for 24 all these tiers.

Thank you.

25

MR. BLASSINGAME: Staff?

1.3

2.3

MR. THOMPSON: Clint Thompson, deputy director of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division. If I could have the floor, Presiding Officer Blassingame.

MR. BLASSINGAME: Director Thompson, you have the floor.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.

We discussed a variety of methodologies, and one of those was, we're using the methodology or the term tiers, I call it buckets. I tend to look at things very simply, and I engaged staff early talking about creating buckets for the type of dealer. And we went through that exercise initially to look at franchised dealers, how many different buckets do we have for franchised dealers. And that's part of looking at the data that we have available in eLICENSING on the sales reported by franchised dealers.

We've also considered the higher volume independent dealers. We know who those folks are, so we've looked at establishing a separate bucket, separate tier for the independent motor vehicle dealers who are selling a large number of vehicles in excess of what our franchised dealers are selling. We recognize that. We certainly see the difference in volume.

But the easier thing for the department, from an implementation standpoint, is we have the ability to

get the actual data for buyer tags and title applications.

Again I go back to -- this tells us historically what
this franchised dealer has done over three and a half,
four years, whatever the case may be. It also tells us
what these different independent dealers, whether they're
a high volume or low volume dealer, and to me that gives
us an actual number to work from. And then we work on
what the cushion is that's reasonable to increase for the
market increase, or Member Doran talked about a large sale
that the dealer didn't anticipate, things of that nature.

2.3

But it also gives us the ability to recognize, hey, we've got a new dealer who does not have sales data, so that's part of this. And maybe we call that a separate tier, if you will, but part of that to me is identifying the criteria from those folks who are newly licensed and don't have that sales data that we're looking at relative to other dealers and making that determination what's the reasonable amount.

We've got a 500 threshold that we look at for folks to determine fraud. Well, is that the cap? Maybe that's not the cap, maybe it needs to be lower.

But that's part of the discussion, and for us to come up with those new folks and obviously existing dealerships, what's the right mix. What's the right criteria for these folks to make sure that they can

continue to do business, but limit the fraudulent activity 1 that could potentially occur. 3 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Director Thompson. Comments from the floor from members? 4 5 MR. PRATHER: Member Prather. Can I have the 6 floor? 7 MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Prather, you have the floor. 8 9 If we're going to look at one MR. PRATHER: 10 year and new franchised or non-franchised dealers, some of our franchised dealers, like AutoNation and Sonic, have 11 12 AutoNation used car lots that are established in large 1.3 volume. Sonic has some Echo Park lots, but they're all 14 independent, as does Vroom, and as does CarMax. 15 If you're going to look at one year and newer 16 in a different light, is there any provision that you 17 might be able to make for these existing CarMaxes and others that have other locations that build a new location 18 in a different city, and give them some consideration for 19 20 the volumes they've done in the past, and somewhat as 21 they're already an established dealer, not really just a 22 new dealer, although they will have a new GDN? 2.3 MR. THOMPSON: Clint Thompson, deputy director 24 of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division. If I could

have the floor, Presiding Officer Blassingame?

25

MR. BLASSINGAME: You can have the floor, 1 2 Director Thompson. 3 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you. 4 Member Prather, absolutely that's something we 5 can look at. And again, it's about establishing that 6 reasonable number for that dealership. Use the example of 7 CarMax, obviously they're a higher volume independent 8 dealer as opposed to myself if I wanted to open up a 9 dealership and had not done it before and don't have any 10 existing history. We certainly recognize the differences between those dealerships. 11 12 In addition, as a new franchised dealer opens 1.3 up another location, those are certainly factors that we 14 can consider as we look at the maximum for folks that do 15 not have sales history. But thank you for that. 16 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Director Thompson. 17 Member Zak, you have the floor. 18 MR. ZAK: Yes. Thank you. 19 I had several comments and a few suggestions, 20 and I had one question for the department to start with that maybe may help me in some of my comments. 21 22 question is how quickly does the department have access to 2.3 the sales data? 24 Is this something that you are looking at on a

daily basis? Are you looking at it from a historical

25

standpoint that you're looking at the previous month, a week or two into the first month from the previous month?

How quickly and how often is that data reviewed?

2.3

MR. THOMPSON: Clint Thompson, deputy director of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division. If I could have the floor, Presiding Officer Blassingame?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Director Thompson, you have the floor.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you for the question. So what we've done thus far is pull historical data. So we've looked at by calendar year, which we can pull at any point. We also have the ability to look at the buyer tag data, for example, on a daily basis.

We can run individual reports by date so we have immediate access to that, as well as the title applications. Now, understanding that we do not have that data until a title application has been processed by a county tax office, but once that has been done, we can run that by various date ranges and various parameters to obtain that data.

But the goal in looking at the actual data that I've laid out is getting that historical look over the course of multiple years. That way we all recognize 2020 with COVID was an anomaly for vehicle sales. Making sure that we account for that, getting additional years to

factor in to an annual average that's reasonable per dealership. But then again also making sure that we consider those other variables to ensure that we have sufficient cushion for those folks to do business.

1.3

2.3

But in short, we have access to data currently that we can pull by whatever time period it is, annual basis, whatever the case may be. But we also have access to reports that are essentially live from a buyer tag standpoint that we can tell immediately once those tags have been issued.

MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Assistant Director Thompson.

Member Zak, other questions?

MR. ZAK: Yes, thank you.

Well, thank you for that clarification, and I think it's the intent of the agency as well as the various dealers that are on this committee, and I think we don't want it to be a burden on either party or neither side, and I appreciate that among everybody. And I certainly don't want to overburden the agency with added procedures and just work.

But one comment I would like to make. Texas

Independent Automobile Dealers, which I was past president

of -- and I know we have Member Martin here, who is

executive director, is on this call -- that is information

that we look at on an ongoing basis for our association from the standpoint of -- from an independent side who are the larger bigger dealers in the state.

2.3

And as has been mentioned on the phone call, outside of CarMax, Texas Direct/Vroom, perhaps a Carvana and their model, outside of those dealers you will be hard pressed to find an independent dealer that's going to sell more than 300 cars per month. I mean, there may be one or two out there in the entire state, but the majority of the dealers, as we have researched in the past, is that most independent dealers are going to have sales volume of 100 or less.

So with that, and taking that into account and trying to determine tag limit size, I think if we came up -- or if the department, the agency would come up on those new dealers to just limit them to no more than -- I don't know what the adequate number would be, I don't know if it's 300 a month, 400 a month, or whatever tags per month on a new dealer, it would seem like you would catch those criminals, and so to speak, the bad actors.

But on a go-forward basis, or really for all the other dealers who have been in existence for some period of time, I think if you took their annual sales according to their VIT report and give an additional factor, be it 20 percent, 30 percent or 40 percent over

and above that, that would pretty much cover most other existing established dealers and it wouldn't interrupt their business any.

So I don't know if we could incorporate those type of parameters. I think all the specific factors that were mentioned, the five, I think should be considered.

So those are just kind of my comments and I don't know how that can be considered or if that's certainly even something worth to be considered.

Thank you.

MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Zak.

Staff?

2.3

MR. THOMPSON: Clint Thompson, deputy director of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division. If I can have the floor, Presiding Officer Blassingame?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Assistant Director Thompson, you can have the floor.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.

Member Zak, I appreciate that and thank you for validating the volume. That can assist us greatly and that's absolutely what we're looking at, what you spoke to about identifying the sales volume from the various metrics that we have available to the department and establishing that as a base, if you will, and then identifying what is the appropriate increase to facilitate

legitimate businesses continuing to conduct business 1 2 without having to unnecessarily contact the department. I know you mentioned VIT. One of the reasons 3 4 that we're looking at these data elements that we have is 5 just that, we have access to it, and as I spoke to you 6 previously, we have access to it quickly. Rather than 7 having to collect the vehicle inventory tax statements 8 from the county tax assessor-collectors, obviously we're 9 not trying to burden county tax assessor-collectors with 10 providing us data that we otherwise have a variant 11 available to us. 12 But relative to the new dealerships coming on, 13 absolutely we're looking at what the threshold is based on 14 franchised dealer versus the independents. And I 15 appreciate you throwing out the 300 max relative to what 16 the smaller independent motor vehicle dealers are selling 17 on an annual basis. Thank you for that. That's certainly what we're looking at 18 19 capturing with those folks that don't have the sales 20 history for our data. 21 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Assistant Director 22 Thompson. 2.3 Members?

MR. BRADBURN: Member Bradburn. Can I speak,

24

25

please?

MR. ZAK: Can I make one more comment? 1 2 MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Zak, you want to make 3 one more comment? 4 Yes. I was going to suggest that if MR. ZAK: 5 a dealer reaches their limit of tags of whatever we come 6 up with a formula, I think an easy way to validate the 7 need for the dealer to increase their tag limit, the 8 dealer would have to provide their past three months of 9 VIT. That wouldn't burden the DMV and the dealership 10 would readily have that information available, so I don't know if that would be a burden. If it was on my side, I 11 can certainly just pull those reports out of the file and 12 1.3 submit it and it wouldn't be that much of a challenge. 14 But going back, as far as the new dealers are 15 concerned, I would set a low tag limit, whatever that 16 number may be. And I think that probably could curtail a 17 lot of our problems that we're talking about here today. 18 Thank you. 19 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Zak. 20 The floor recognizes Member Bradburn. 21 Thank you, sir. MR. BRADBURN: 22 I would agree with member Zak. If we're 2.3 talking the 300 number, I would say be generous and every 24 dealer give them a 600 number. But more importantly, we 25 need to also implement the other side for the revocation

process which, again, is not for a franchise or not for somebody that makes a mistake.

2.3

Because whether we give them 600 tags a month, 10,000 tags a month, the criminal side that we're looking at is going to do that in their first or second week and with all the safeguards that DMV has in place or looking at, the two factors together, that's going to fix the problem, along with vetting the dealers later on, but it's a different discussion.

MS. THOMPSON: Officer Blassingame, Director of Enforcement Corrie Thompson. If I may have the floor?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Director of Enforcement Thompson, you have the floor.

MS. THOMPSON: Yes. I just wanted to address the comment by I believe it was Member Zak about yes, potentially when Deputy Director Thompson was mentioning that we're suggesting reliance more so on the data that we already have within the department as opposed to the VITs. It's not to say that the VIT information could not be used as you stated.

VIT information is used routinely during the investigative process when we're confirming whether or not issuance was valid as compared to those VIT statements.

Yes, it could be used, as you're stating, as a factor to be considered as a factor when a dealer is requesting a

tag increase.

2.3

ahead.

And I just want to make sure that we're distinguishing that could be useful information for different purposes, investigations or requesting additional tags, distinguishing that from the initial data that we could have on each specific dealer and their sales volume activity to start out the initial limits.

MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Director Thompson.

Members?

MR. MARTIN: Officer Blassingame, Jeff Martin.
MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Martin, please go

MR. MARTIN: So my question, it feels like the data is available, particularly as it relates to buyer's tags. So I'm wondering, Deputy Director Clint Thompson, if you guys have some suggestions, the data that you're seeing, I think that's actually going to be an easier number for us to get our arms around. The dealer tag that is either vehicle-specific or that is an agent-specific tag is probably going to be a little bit more of a challenge, and we'll have to come up with some type of formula for that.

But if you guys have worked on this over the last week, can you potentially give us some numbers or some ideas of what you're thinking as it relates to the

buyer tag across the multiple licensees that are out there? And I think that might help us move the conversation forward.

2.3

You have all the data on your side, so if you guys can give us some ideas of the numbers that you're looking at, maybe we can move the conversation forward with that.

MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Martin.

Deputy Director Thompson, you have the floor.

MR. THOMPSON: Yes, sir, thank you.

Member Martin, I appreciate it. We are not done analyzing the data. We've taken an initial look, we've taken a look at both, as I said earlier, in-state buyer tag issuance per dealer, buyer tags issued to out-of-state residents. We also have data for agent tags, vehicle-specific tags, things of that nature. And it does vary based on franchised dealer, and what we have not looked at yet is the length of time various dealers have been in business.

I'll just give you some quick examples. We have a franchised dealer that's selling 4,200 vehicles in a year; we have an independent dealer that's selling 28,000 vehicles in a year. That's the variance. That's one of your higher ends, if you will, for franchised dealers versus independent dealers that we know are CarMax

or Carvana, things of that nature.

1.3

2.3

And then we've see sales varying from 100 a year to 25 a year for independents. I mean, it really depends on the size of the dealership. I think that the length of licensure is evidenced in their sales, but that's obviously something that we can factor in and consider as well, but they really vary based on even franchised dealers within those particular dealers as well obviously the independent dealers.

We have the top tier, if you will, are dealers that are selling volume for the independent dealers as opposed to the smaller dealerships that don't have the volume, as Member Zak indicated.

MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Deputy Director Thompson.

Staff -- I'm sorry -- members?

MR. PRATHER: This is Steve Prather.

MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Prather, you have the floor.

MR. PRATHER: Thank you.

In the agreement that a dealer signs -- and I signed one of those way back when but I don't remember what it says -- does it say for me to issue a buyer's tag I must have ownership of that vehicle and it must be in my books as a vehicle that I owned and that I sold to

someone? That would allow y'all, when you go in to do enforcement, investigate whether that dealer owned that vehicle and if he did not, that would be an immediate violation. And with maybe 10 or 20 of those, enough that somebody wouldn't just flat out mistake, it would be something that y'all could immediately terminate his access to the tag program?

2.3

MS. THOMPSON: Officer Blassingame, Director of Enforcement Corrie Thompson. If I may have the floor?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Director Thompson, you have the floor.

MS. THOMPSON: Yes. So when you sign the application to become a licensed dealer you are agreeing to become familiar with the laws and rules that pertain to licensees of the department. And so in Texas

Administrative Code, the rules set out requirements for tag issuance for the different types of tags in Title 43, Chapter 215 of the Administrative Code.

And yes, so buyer's temporary tags are laid out in 215.155, all the specifications for when it's appropriate to issue a tag are spelled out there. So that is something that we do currently look at when we are conducting investigations. Whether or not a vehicle is in somebody's inventory is one of the parameters that is spelled out in the temp tag denial access briefing

document as what constitutes fraudulently obtaining a 1 2 temporary tag. 3 So we are also adding that in as a factor, but 4 it is currently something that we already do when 5 conducting investigations is making that comparison about 6 whether or not that vehicle was ever in the dealer's 7 inventory. 8 MR. BRADBURN: Member Bradburn. Can I respond 9 as well, please? 10 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Director Thompson. Yes, Member Bradburn, you have the floor. 11 12 MR. BRADBURN: Thank you. 13 Mr. Prather, another aspect we look at on the 14 criminal side is to issue a buyer tag, they had to have a 15 valid vehicle inspection of 180 days preceding. And it's 16 a monetary issue, not part of this discussion, but the DMV 17 system does not communicate with TCEQ. If those two systems would communicate, then 18 19 that could also be a way to stop these tags from being 20 issued. 21 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you. 22 Staff? 2.3 MR. RICHARDS: Officer Blassingame, this is 24 David Richards. May I have the floor? 25 MR. BLASSINGAME: Mr. Richards, you have the

1 floor. MR. RICHARDS: Yes. We need to verify or 2 confirm who call-in user 22 is. I understand Mr. Donnelly 3 4 is one and Mr. Hayter. Need to unmute caller 41 as well. 5 We've unmuted 22. Can we unmute 41? 6 MR. DONNELLY: This is Member Donnelly. 7 you hear me? 8 MR. RICHARDS: Yes, sir, we can. Thank you. 9 I've got another caller, Member Hayter is one 10 and I've got another caller. Can you identify yourself, please? Could you identify yourself, please? 11 12 (No response.) 13 MR. RICHARDS: Perhaps he's not connected. 14 Officer Blassingame, please proceed. 15 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, sir. 16 Comments from members? MR. THOMPSON: Presiding Officer Blassingame, 17 Clint Thompson, deputy director of Vehicle Titles and 18 19 Registration Division. If I can answer Member Bradbury's 20 question or comment about the inspection correlation? 21 MR. BLASSINGAME: Deputy Director Thompson, you 22 have the floor. 2.3 Thank you, sir. MR. THOMPSON: 24 Member Bradburn, their current application does 25 not interface with an inspection database like the

Registration and Title System does. There's a variety of reasons for it. We have provisions in this bill, HB 3927, that allows for certain vehicles to be issued a buyer tag without a valid inspection so the buyer tag will be affixed to the vehicle. That portion was effective September 1.

2.3

We also have an existing exemption, which was clarified in this bill as well, for folks that are out-of-state residents purchasing vehicles that obviously they would not have to have a Texas state inspection. But with those exceptions, is there the possibility to program it?

Yes.

That also imposes burdens on dealers when they don't have -- our record for whatever reason hasn't been uploaded. We've seen since the implementation of single sticker and interfacing the Registration and Title System with the inspection database that at times there is a disconnect between the inspection.

And so certainly that is a possibility, but with that becomes programming -- obviously working with another state agency to make that happen. We're hoping that the provisions of this bill relative to access denial, as well as the maximum tag limits, will be a lot quicker solution for us.

We certainly appreciate the comment.

MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Assistant Director 1 2 Thompson. 3 Members, questions? 4 MR. HAYTER: This is Russell Hayter. 5 MR. BLASSINGAME: Russell Hayter, you have the 6 floor. 7 MR. HAYTER: Thank you. 8 Is there any consideration or there's a 9 question or does department staffing come up in this 10 anywhere? Or do we have any recommendations or do we need 11 to make a recommendation about any necessary increase in 12 staff to handle some of these background investigations? 1.3 MR. BLASSINGAME: Staff? 14 MS. JOHNSTON: Hi, Officer Blassingame, this is 15 Monique Johnston, director of the Motor Vehicle Division. 16 May I have the floor, please? 17 MR. BLASSINGAME: Yes, Member Johnston, you have the floor. 18 19 MS. JOHNSTON: This specific bill did not have 20 a fiscal note attached to it so it did not award any 21 additional FTEs for staff. However, staff is looking at 22 the best ways for us to review, for instance, any kind of 2.3 additional tag requests to make sure there's not 24 interruption in business and also to make the 25 administrative burden on staff as less as possible.

1	So we are looking at ways and how to
2	incorporate this into the staff that we currently have.
3	MR. HAYTER: Thank you.
4	MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Director Johnston.
5	Call-in user 5, you have the floor.
6	MR. DONNELLY: I'm assuming that's Chris
7	Donnelly?
8	MR. BLASSINGAME: Yeah, Chris, I thought you
9	were call-in number 5.
10	MR. DONNELLY: Thank you so much. I'm
11	struggling with the software.
12	A question for Enforcement folks. I'm under
13	the impression that if we put any limit in place, it's
14	going to curb the financial benefit that these people are
15	obtaining by having access to the system. I mean, if we
16	were to put in a scenario where a person has access to 100
17	a month, would that deter people from doing this because
18	it really wasn't worth their while?
19	MS. THOMPSON: Officer Blassingame, Corrie
20	Thompson, director of Enforcement. If I may have the
21	floor?
22	MR. BLASSINGAME: Director Thompson, you have
23	the floor.
24	MS. THOMPSON: I do believe that limit-setting
25	in any reasonable amount that is based on historical sales

volume data is going to help curb the problem. Of course, it will take come catch-up time for the bad actors to realize that those limits have been set. So we could still have people pinging the system, attempting to obtain tags, but hopefully once word gets out, that's the goal here on the back-end.

2.3

We've talked about in the prior meeting some additional things that we're looking at doing on the front-end in terms of helping the problem as well. But yes, as far as finding the bad actors on the back-end, this should definitely go a long way in helping with the problem that we're seeing.

MR. BRADBURN: Member Bradburn. Can I comment, please?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Bradburn, you have the floor.

MR. BRADBURN: Thank you.

I would absolutely agree with Director

Thompson, what she just said. I have seen already,

working nationwide on this case, the main element that I'm

working to take down, some of them previously did the same

situations with Florida tags. It got too hot there, they

moved to New York. So I do believe once all these

procedures in place, there still will be people selling

low level tags but we will definitely slow the stem.

Thank you. 1 2 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Bradburn. 3 Staff, comment? MR. MARTIN: Officer Blassingame, Jeff Martin. 4 5 MR. BLASSINGAME: Mr. Martin, you have the 6 floor. 7 MR. MARTIN: So this question is directed to 8 staff. Are you looking for the committee to come up with 9 a determining factor? It doesn't sound like we're really 10 trying to come up with a number here as much a determining factor on how we come up with the number. 11 And if that's the case, it sounds like for 12 13 established dealers looking at their sales volume seems to 14 make the most sense from the conversation that I'm hearing 15 in the committee. The most challenge is going to be the 16 new licensed dealer and if we need to come up with 17 criteria on how we identify that number, I think that 18 potentially we can focus on that. 19 But is that where we're trying to go here, 20 you're asking us to help you come up with the criteria, 21 not necessarily the number? 22 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you. 2.3 Staff? 24 MS. JOHNSTON: Presiding Officer Blassingame, 25 this is Director Johnston. If I could have the floor,

please.

2.3

DR. BROWN: Director Johnston, you have the floor.

MS. JOHNSTON: Yes. Thank you, Member Martin, that is correct, we would like you to come up and help us determine what criteria that you feel is the most important in determining how we set this matrix. As you know, we've been focusing on sales data but also how long someone has been in process, what type of license. You know, it is -- it's your franchised, it's your independent dealer, is it a new licensee, what factors you feel should weigh more heavily in us determining these numbers.

And I feel like we're getting some of that feedback here with the tier system, also with those dealers such as CarMax who might open a new dealership in another area but they have established dealers in other cities. And then you have your new independent dealers who have never held a license before and potentially just setting a standard limit for those dealers based on that and they can request additional tags after a certain amount of time by providing their VIT statements.

So I think your giving us that kind of feedback is what we're looking for so we can establish those matrix. So yeah, I think you're on track with that for sure.

1	MS. THOMPSON: Corrie Thompson.
2	MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Director Johnston.
3	Director Thompson, you have the floor.
4	MS. THOMPSON: Thank you, Officer Blassingame.
5	Yes, so just to clarify, not necessarily any
6	determination needed from the committee, but again, those
7	factors to consider when we're developing the rules around
8	setting limitations.
9	MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Director Thompson.
10	Staff I'm sorry members?
11	MR. MARTIN: Officer Blassingame, Jeff Martin.
12	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Martin, you have the
13	floor.
14	MR. MARTIN: Are you looking for a motion
15	and I don't know if that question goes to staff I think
16	we could potentially come up with a motion here to give
17	staff an opportunity to go back and review some data and
18	then come back with some recommendations to the committee.
19	MR. BLASSINGAME: Staff?
20	MS. JOHNSTON: Officer Blassingame, this is
21	Director Johnston. May I have the floor, please?
22	MR. BLASSINGAME: Director Johnston, you have
23	the floor.
24	MS. JOHNSTON: Yes, Member Martin, based on the
25	timeline in order to bring the proposed rules to the

October board meeting, I do not believe we will have time to have an additional advisory committee meeting. I can defer to our General Counsel's Office, though, if they would like to make any additional comment on that. So there will be a public comment period, of course, once the rules are proposed by the board for anyone to provide additional feedback before any of the rules are adopted.

2.3

MR. BLASSINGAME: Any comment from members?

MR. PRATHER: This is Steve Prather. May I speak?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Prather, you have the floor.

MR. PRATHER: Thank you.

In keeping with what we've discussed about the new car dealers, it's important that we remember, due to some of the supply chain problems, such as the chip manufacturers and things of that nature, a lot of the manufacturers have had to restrict the number of vehicles that they can build because of these supply chain issues through this pandemic.

So while you look at some of the historical data, I think it's important that you realize that there could be, and hopefully will be, an uptick in sales once this era that we're going through is over. And you might want to boost the numbers to avoid that problem, at least

initially, to be sure you don't have that problem when this pandemic is over.

1.3

2.3

Now, on the used car stuff, the biggest thing everybody seems to believe is the newly appointed independent dealers is the biggest area that you can have risk with. We've had a number of independent dealerships ourselves over the years and I know many people that have them. There's a lot of them out there that sell 30 and 40 cars a month.

I don't know if it would be reasonable to set an independent dealer, other than a CarMax and something that has a verifiable track record already, but a newly independent dealer, if you set him at 50 units a month and gave him 600 for a year and made him kind of earn his right to get more tag availability, might not be such a bad idea. If you give him 600 and you track all the newly appointed dealers and see if they're reporting sales that coincide with the 600, or if one of them gets 600 and uses up his license in two weeks, you know he's got a problem.

But you know, if you give him 600 and you say six months and he's running at 85 units a month and you notice in five months that he needs to get some more, if those are the few dealers that you really have to deal with those newly appointed dealers, I don't think it would be that huge a burden on the staff to address those if you

protect somewhat the established bona fide legitimate operating businesses.

1.3

2.3

So that might be a way that you avoid having a whole bunch of people needing adjustments to their numbers, if you just kind of lock out the new guys, not so that he can't do business but he can't do fraudulent business.

For whatever that's worth, I think it's reasonable to consider.

MR. SRALLA: This is Member Sralla. May I have the floor?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Sralla, you have the floor.

MR. SRALLA: That's one reason why my thought was to have a tiered system to where it wouldn't be so much of a burden on staff to go back and say, hey, do you need more tags. If the dealer was notified -- or the license holder was notified at 60 percent, 75 percent, 90 percent, whatever the numbers are, I don't really care, but was notified, if they're legitimate people, if they're a legitimate licensee, they will go out there and make the effort to contact DMV staff and say, hey, this is why I need more availability, and nobody is going to be pushed up against the wall or at the last minute to make it happen.

Thank you. 1 2 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Sralla. Staff? 3 MR. THOMPSON: Clint Thompson, deputy director 4 5 of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division. If I can 6 have the floor, Officer Blassingame? 7 MR. BLASSINGAME: Deputy Director Thompson, you have the floor. 8 9 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you. 10 And thank you, Members Prather and Sralla, for those comments. Absolutely that's what we're looking at 11 relative to the industry and the impacts that COVID has 12 1.3 had and the chips and all of that. We have discussed the sales data that we have 14 15 access to as a base, if you will. And the bill absolutely 16 contemplates expected growth, changes in the market which 17 would constitute chips being available and increased production, so those are certainly factors that we're 18 19 considering to make sure that we're increasing the maximum 20 for those folks. That way we account for that. And 21 again, once the market rights itself, they don't have to 22 immediately come knock on the door of the department to 2.3 continue to conduct business. 24 But then also for the new independent dealers,

thank you for echoing what was said earlier. That's what

25

we're trying to do is, what is that maximum threshold for those new folks.

And then again to Member Sralla's comment, making sure that we have those mechanisms in place to alert those folks, hey, we're exceeding our expectations in sales. We've got an alert within the application that says we're hitting 50 percent or 60 percent, or whatever the case may be. That way those folks can proactively reach out to the department and request those tags, and again, we're not restricting business for legitimate folks with these maximums that we've intended for.

Thank you.

2.3

MR. DORAN: This is Member Doran. May I be recognized for a question to staff?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Doran, you have the floor.

MR. DORAN: Thank you.

Would it be possible for the DMV to be able to share what that number is for a franchised dealer with the distributor or manufacturer at the distributor's or manufacturer's request? And the reason I make that suggestion is, in the franchised dealer world you've got representatives, we'll call them field travelers, that call on the dealers, sometimes once a month, sometimes once every two months. And they are going over a whole

series of performance metrics with that dealer trying to work with them and track with them what's going on in the market.

So having another set of eyes on that to make sure that the dealer is aware of the threshold number and how they're tracking against that number could be something that helps avoid a situation for a dealer where they come upon that threshold number quicker than they're anticipating. Just a suggestion.

Thanks.

2.3

MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, sir.

Staff?

MS. THOMPSON: Corrie Thompson. Officer Blassingame, if I could have the floor?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Director Thompson, you have the floor.

MS. THOMPSON: To address Member Doran's question -- if OGC wants to mute and cut me off at any point in time, they're free to do so -- I believe the question posted by Member Doran would be something that potentially a manufacturer or distributor could put into contract language with the franchisees, but that would not be something that the department would have authority to pass on from the franchised dealer to the manufacturer or distributor.

MR. DORAN: This is Member Doran. May I just respond to Ms. Thompson real quick?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Doran, you have the floor.

MR. DORAN: Thank you.

1.3

2.3

No. Just to clarify, I was not suggesting that there's any legal implication there or any franchise obligation or contractual obligation whatsoever. What I was suggesting was that if it would be a data point that upon request of the manufacturer or distributor to the department they could say: Can you please provide me with what the projected threshold number is for the following dealers?

That way the manufacturer or distributor would have that information so that when they are visiting that dealer and working with them on their variety of performance indicators that that could be a discussion point like as a diagnostic, oh, hey, by the way, here's a chart showing you based on your sales where we think you're tracking against your number. It looks like you might want to think about notifying the DMV in the next two to three weeks if you're continuing this sales pace. Hey, there was a hurricane and it looks like you're selling a lot more vehicles than you were anticipating because so many were destroyed in the storm, et cetera, et

cetera. That was my suggestion.

2.3

So if it's already a publicly available piece of data that the distributor or manufacturer could contact the DMV about and just get anyway, then okay, maybe that's not an issue, but if it's not then I'm suggesting that that be a data point that the department would be willing to share with the manufacturer or distributor at their request.

MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Doran. Staff?

MR. THOMPSON: Clint Thompson, deputy director of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division. If I can have the floor, Presiding Officer Blassingame?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Deputy Director Thompson, you have the floor.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.

Member Doran, I appreciate the clarification, I believe I understand what the question is now. When we're talking about setting those notification thresholds, they would be standard for every dealer in the State of Texas. It's not like we would say franchised dealer in Dallas, you get a 50 percent notification, whereas, franchised dealer in Harris County you get a 60 percent notification.

We would have standard notifications built in at various thresholds, 50, 75, 95, for example. That way

those folks know and everybody else would know, hey, here's the threshold, the alert, if you will, that way that dealer knows on the front-end when I hit 50 I'm going to get that notification, when I come to 75, again I'm going to get that notification. So it would be standard for each and every one of the dealers.

2.3

MR. DORAN: This is Member Doran. Thank you,
Mr. Thompson, that's helpful. I think the cadence, of
course, that's helpful to know that's going to be uniform,
but the actual number that's been assigned to that
particular dealer would be helpful.

So for example, we might have information that there's going to be a major highway project that is going to impede access on the frontage road to that dealership, and therefore, we're expecting an eight to twelve month potentially dip in sales, or something of that nature.

You know, we think that having visibility to some other factors would enable us to have some good discussions with the dealer, which in turn, we think would help explain or anticipate changes in the data that if DMV is just regularly reviewing all of this may not be aware of or be privy to that could put some context around it, and therefore, would enable us to have conversations with that dealer to encourage them to bring those data points forward to the department so that they can have those

1	conversations about changing that number or requesting a
2	change in that number as these factors arise.
3	That's what I was suggesting. Thank you.
4	MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Doran.
5	Comment by staff?
6	MR. DONNELLY: Member Donnelly.
7	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Donnelly, you have the
8	floor.
9	MR. DONNELLY: I asked earlier and I don't
10	recall the answer, do we have any type of forecast on how
11	long an appeal system or a request for additional tags
12	would take? Because it seems like that's the question
13	mark is, hey, I hit 75 percent, I'm probably going to run
14	out. Is that going to be a month-long process, a week-
15	long process, or do we have any idea at this point?
16	MR. BLASSINGAME: Question for staff.
17	MS. JOHNSTON: Officer Blassingame, this is
18	Director Johnston. May I have the floor, please?
19	MR. BLASSINGAME: Director Johnston, you have
20	the floor.
21	MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you.
22	Yes, as discussed previously, we were hoping
23	that any kind of requests that come through for additional
24	tags once you receive notification that you've reached
25	your 50 percent, 60 percent, 75 percent mark would come

through the department and would be reviewed as it would be an application for a renewal application. We would look at the request and then pull the information, and then possibly, depending on what we decide the process will be, maybe request sales information from that dealer, and hopefully it would be within a days' turnaround, not a month.

2.3

Of course, if there is some questionable activity that's noted, and say that the request is denied, that would most likely be going into the fraudulent dealer area which then that would not usually happen with someone who is legitimately doing business as a dealer.

MR. DONNELLY: Member Donnelly.

MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Donnelly, you have the floor.

MR. DONNELLY: I'm under the impression that the greater majority of independent dealers sell very few cars, really like 90 percent, I think, sell less than 20 cars a month, I think, something that I've heard. So we're really talking about if I'm that dealer and I'm selling 30 cars a month and I'm going to hit my 75 percent threshold, I probably would be just requesting another access to maybe 60 or 70 or something like that, as opposed to somebody coming in and saying, hey, I need 1,000.

I feel like it would be pretty obvious if somebody was a bad actor that's a small independent, and as best I can tell, it's the independent license that we really need to curb here. I mean, if you take my scenario and I'm selling 30 and I feel like I need to request 60 more because it's October and I'm about to run out, do you think that's a pretty quick transaction in that scenario, and if I provided VIT forms would that work? I'm just trying to get a visual here.

2.3

MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Donnelly. Staff?

MS. JOHNSTON: Officer Blassingame, this is Director Johnston. May I have the floor?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Director Johnston, you have the floor.

MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you very much.

Yes, we anticipate the process to be a quick process. We don't want to cause any burden on the dealer or staff. We want to make sure we have a simple process that we can review the documentation and then approve additional tags for that dealer, and that would be approved in the system and once the approval is reviewed and granted, it would be instantaneous. We are working with our IT department, of course, to get all of the IT requirements set up for that.

MR. DONNELLY: Member Donnelly. Member 1 2 Donnelly, you have the floor. 3 MR. DONNELLY: Is it possible that the eTAG 4 system could be made robust enough to where the 5 notification -- I think I heard somebody say the 6 notification would come up on eTAG but it could provide a 7 button that would allow us to do an upload, do an 8 electronic request and upload supporting documents so that 9 it just becomes an electronic scenario? 10 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Donnelly. MS. JOHNSTON: Officer Blassingame, this is 11 12 Director Johnston. May I have the floor, please? 13 MR. BLASSINGAME: Director Johnston, you have 14 the floor. 15 MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you. 16 Yes, we're looking to connect this through the 17 eLICENSING system and where it will be speaking to the webDEALER system. This is what IT is researching at the 18 19 So we are hoping that the request would be done 20 through eLICENSING, just as you would submit your renewal application, and you would be able to upload the 21 22 documentation to the division; it would be reviewed 2.3 through your eLICENSING account. 24 MR. DONNELLY: Member Donnelly. 25 Member Donnelly, you have the MR. BLASSINGAME:

floor.

2.3

MR. DONNELLY: Asking other dealers and explaining to people what the issue is -- in fact, I had a repo guy said that he pulled a car and the car had a paper tag on it and the lady that he pulled the car said she paid 30 bucks for it and she thought it came out of a foreign country, I think he said Iran or something like that.

Given what you just laid out, Director

Johnston, I would say most of the dealers I talked to said

last year's sales plus 10 percent, last year's sales plus

20 percent. I favor the 20 percent basically until the IT

gets tested and we can get a good cycle on how long it

takes to get a request. My guess is VIT plus 20 percent,

you're probably not going to have a whole lot of requests

anyway.

But in that situation, considering most independent dealers sell less than 20 a month or 30 a month, whatever number you want to put on it, that seems like it's pretty much going to put these guys out of business that are selling these tags, other than the fact that they could apply for multiple dealership license, like we spoke in the last meeting. And then the next topic is, you know, do we allow fingerprinting or something like that.

But it seems to me today VIT plus 20 percent 1 2 with an appeal system that allows you to submit proof of higher sales and a week's turnaround is something that I 3 feel would be a solution. 4 5 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Donnelly. 6 Staff? 7 MS. JOHNSTON: Officer Blassingame, this is Director Johnston. May I have the floor? 8 9 MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Johnston, you have the 10 floor. 11 MR. DONNELLY: Thank you, Member Donnelly. 12 Yes, I think that would be a fair assumption process by 13 cutting off the new dealers where it seems to be the 14 biggest problem or limiting their initial tag use, and 15 making sure we have a speedy process for those established 16 dealers who might need to request more tags and are doing 17 well in business. I think that's everyone's goal here is to ensure that they can request additional tags by 18 19 providing us with the appropriate sales documentation and 20 also providing a buffer in sales to anticipate growth. And so that's our goal here and that's why we 21 22 appreciate the feedback from the committee members. 2.3 MR. DONNELLY: Member Donnelly. Sorry. 24 MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Donnelly, go ahead, 25 you have the floor.

MR. DONNELLY: So once again going back to the 1 2 fact that the overwhelming majority of independent dealers 3 are going to probably sell less than 300 cars in a year, 4 it seems to me that that would be a good starting point 5 for a new dealer for independents and a new dealer. Now, 6 there's always going to be the exception, but I don't 7 think we want to manage by the exception, we want to 8 manage by the norm. 9 And if there's an appeal process that goes, 10 hey, you know, 300 is the automatic, you'll need to get in touch with somebody and provide documentation while you'll 11 12 need more than that because you're opening up a CarMax 1.3 location or something like that. But it seems to me the 14 sooner we could we just put an arbitrary number in there 15 and allow the appeal process to work, the better off we'll 16 all be. 17 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Donnelly. 18 Staff, any comment? 19 (No response.) 20 MR. BLASSINGAME: Okay. That moves us on to 21 agenda item 2.A. ii. Implementation of 3927 tag denial and 22 maximum tag limits, and I'll turn it back over to staff. 2.3 MR. BLASSINGAME: 24 MS. BEAVER: This is Tracey Beaver, general

May I have the floor?

25

Counsel.

MR. BLASSINGAME: Re-identify. 1 2 MS. BEAVER: This is Tracey Beaver, general 3 counsel of the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. May I have the floor? 4 5 MR. BLASSINGAME: Yes, ma'am. 6 MS. BEAVER: Thank you. 7 I just wanted to mention that the agenda item 8 up for discussion now is very similar to the previous 9 agenda item, and if members do have any additional 10 recommendations or discussion that they would like to make regarding either of these agenda items, they're welcome to 11 12 do so at any time, and we welcome this input so that we 1.3 can consider this in our rulemaking process. 14 Thank you. 15 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, ma'am. 16 I have a call-in from outside caller 8 asking 17 for the floor. 18 (No response.) 19 MR. BLASSINGAME: Okay. Moving right along, 20 though, that will take us to the committee discussion or the committee discuss the implementation of House Bill 21 22 3927. I will now entertain a motion -- I'm sorry, I'm 2.3 lost for a second. 24 MR. RICHARDS: Officer Blassingame, David 25 Richards. May I have the floor, please?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Sorry, David Richards. 1 2 MR. RICHARDS: Yes. Thank you. 3 We wanted the members to complete their 4 discussion on this item and have that take place first, 5 and I don't know if we've finished the discussion yet or 6 not. So if you could call on the members to see if 7 they're completed with that. MR. BLASSINGAME: All right, I'll do that. 8 9 member wish to comment on the discussion that has taken 10 place so far? MR. BRADBURN: Member Bradburn. 11 12 MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Bradburn, you have the 1.3 floor. 14 MR. BRADBURN: Thank you. 15 I just find it imperative that we do move this 16 forward today so that it goes to the next board meeting. 17 One, with the number of tags, I think we should be generous there, and allowing DMV to immediately turn off 18 19 access when they identify the criminals that are selling 20 the tags. 21 If we put this off now it's going to take 22 several additional months and it's going to continue. 2.3 Thank you. 24 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member

25

Blassingame.

1	Any other comment?
2	MR. DONNELLY: Member Donnelly.
3	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Donnelly, you have the
4	floor.
5	MR. DONNELLY: As an independent dealer, I'm
6	right there with Mr. Bradburn. Talking about this, doing
7	research, being enlightened of this, I really hope that we
8	can come up with something today.
9	I don't know if that's the mission of the
10	advisory committee but I think the sooner the better. The
11	more I know about this, the worse it is.
12	MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you.
13	Member Bradburn, any other comment?
14	MR. BRADBURN: No, I absolutely agree. And
15	again, this is not about the franchised dealers. On the
16	franchise side, we should be very, very generous not to
17	affect their business.
18	MR. BLASSINGAME: Any other comment?
19	MR. DONNELLY: Member Donnelly.
20	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Donnelly, you have the
21	floor.
22	MR. DONNELLY: Is it within the scope of this
23	meeting that we could just establish limits for the
24	independent dealer right now in the event that we're
25	unable to come to a decision for franchised, but rather

come to a decision or a recommendation for the independent 1 dealer so that we can move that forward? Because that 3 seems to be where the abuse is taking place through these 4 foreign affairs and such. 5 MR. BLASSINGAME: Ouestion for staff. 6 MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel. 7 May I have the floor? 8 MR. BLASSINGAME: General Counsel Beaver, you 9 have the floor. 10 MS. BEAVER: Thank you. Yes, this committee is authorized to make a 11 12 recommendation to staff to consider in the rulemaking 13 process. At this point we're in the early stages of the 14 rulemaking process for a proposal to hopefully bring to 15 the board in October. If the committee would like to make 16 a formal recommendation, we welcome that. 17 I'd also like to just mention that all of the discussion, deliberation and recommendations that have 18 19 been made by members during this committee will also be 20 considered. So a formal action is not required, but 21 you're welcome to do so. 22 Thank you. 2.3 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you. 24 Any other comment from committee members? 25 MR. SRALLA: Member Sralla. May I have the

floor? 1 2 MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Sralla, you have the 3 floor. MR. SRALLA: I don't know that we necessarily 4 5 need to come up with a number here in this committee. As 6 someone said, yes, the committee can come up with a number, but I think staff has heard all the comments and 7 will take all the comments into consideration. But in the 8 9 end I believe the ultimate decision will be made by the board and not this committee. 10 Thank you. 11 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Sralla. 12 13 MR. DONNELLY: Member Donnelly. 14 MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Donnelly, you have the 15 floor. 16 MR. DONNELLY: The question is if we do not 17 have a formal recommendation today does the DMV have the ability to move forward without a recommendation, or do 18 19 they need a formal recommendation from us? 20 MR. RICHARDS: Officer Blassingame, David 21 Richards. May I have the floor, please? 22 MR. BLASSINGAME: David Richards, you have the 2.3 floor. 24 MR. RICHARDS: I think there's been a lot of 25 very good discussion and ideas and issues brought to the

forefront for staff. To answer your question, Member 1 Donnelly, I don't think we need a formal recommendation. 3 I think we've got a lot of useful information in this good 4 discussion that we don't need any formal action, so I 5 think we can move forward as is without a recommendation. 6 7 Thank you. 8 MR. BLASSINGAME: Any other comments from 9 members? 10 MR. PRATHER: Steve Prather. May I speak? MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Prather, you have the 11 floor. 12 1.3 MR. PRATHER: Thank you very much. 14 Someone mentioned earlier that 20 percent might 15 be a good number for a boost from what historical sales 16 have been. I'm not sure with all the supply chain 17 problems the manufacturers have had 20 percent is going to be adequate for the new franchised dealers, so I would 18 19 hope that would come into consideration as we mentioned earlier. 20 21 Another thing that came up today that also came 22 up last Thursday, and I somewhat supported it, was the 2.3 possibility of fingerprinting dealers. And as I've talked

to a few people, I have learned that the problem is not

the fingerprinting; the problem with fingerprinting is it

24

1 goes through the FBI and that would delay the issuance of 2 a license to some great degree. 3 Now, many times new car dealers when they get 4 ready to sell their franchise, the public knows about it, 5 the employees know about it. It's hard to keep your staff 6 together until the new dealer comes into place, and 7 anything that would delay that transaction from happening -- which the license does require you to wait --8 9 and if a fingerprinting delayed the license even further, 10 which it most likely would, that would sure put a heavy 11 burden on a new car dealer trying to sell out and a new 12 car dealer trying to buy in, along with all the employees

So before anybody were to consider putting a fingerprint requirement into the license application, I think you really need to think long and hard about that. But I just wanted to bring that up since we talked about it Thursday and then it was brought up again today.

So thank you very much.

that would be negatively affected.

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Prather.

Any other comment from members?

MR. DONNELLY: Member Donnelly.

Member Donnelly, you have the MR. BLASSINGAME: floor.

> Question for, I guess, the MR. DONNELLY:

counsel of the DMV. Is it possible to require

fingerprinting just for the independents? I am an

independent dealer so I don't want people to think I'm

here beating up on the independent dealer.

But is it possible to require fingerprinting

for the independent dealer similar to the way we went

through with our Triple-C license? Because I believe we

through with our Triple-C license? Because I believe we got approved and they said, Okay, you're approved, just go down to IdentoGO and pay your money to get fingerprinting and then we'll issue your license.

Is it possible we could just isolate the independent dealer to have to fingerprint?

MR. RICHARDS: Officer Blassingame --

MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel.

May I have the floor?

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. RICHARDS: Go ahead, Tracey.

MR. BLASSINGAME: General counsel, you have the floor.

MS. BEAVER: Thank you.

Thanks for that question. Yes, that is something that the department will be looking at for future policy-making as far as licensing dealers. At this time the department doesn't have fingerprint authority, so it would likely require a legislative recommendation in the future.

However, this committee will be tasked in the 1 2 future with helping the department look at items such as 3 dealer licensing. Right now at this time this committee 4 is considering the temp tag limits and the temp tag denial 5 which does relate to dealer licensing, as we're discussing 6 and y'all are deliberating different ideas for how to come 7 up with those metrics specifically for the temp tag cutoff 8 in the database. 9 But at this time the department is implementing 10 this bill and we will be bringing those topics to the committee in the future, and we very much appreciate your 11 12 input. 13 Thank you. 14 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, General Counsel 15 Beaver. 16 Any other questions from the members or 17 comments? MR. BRADBURN: Member Bradburn. 18 19 MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Bradburn, you have the floor. 20 21 MR. BRADBURN: When it gets to the time, thank 22 you, I would like to entertain a motion. I'm just not 2.3 sure when the appropriate time is. 24 MR. BLASSINGAME: Is there any further comment 25 from members having to do with this meeting?

MR. RICHARDS: Officer Blassingame, David 1 2 Richards, for the record. May I have the floor, please? 3 MR. BLASSINGAME: David Richards, you may have the floor. 4 5 MR. RICHARDS: Thank you, sir. 6 I just want to confirm, it's my understanding 7 we had at least one, maybe two commenters that wanted to 8 speak on this agenda item. So I would ask the IT 9 department, do we have them on the line? 10 I think one was Jose Escribano and the other was David Kohler that wanted to speak on agenda 2.B. 11 12 MR. BLASSINGAME: Caller number 13, you have 13 the floor. Caller number 13, are you Escribano? Caller 14 number 13, are you Kohler? 15 MR. KOHLER: I didn't know which caller was 13. 16 I'm sorry. Can everybody hear me okay? Hello? 17 MR. BLASSINGAME: We can hear you. MR. KOHLER: Okay. Thank you so much. 18 19 Kohler, Travis County Sheriff's Office. Again, I'm here 20 representing myself. 21 First, I want to thank Members Zak, Donnelly 22 and Prather for your impressive questions that you've 2.3 asked today. I wanted to take a minute to give you a refresher of what the intent of 3927 was really about. 24 25 Over the last several years, law enforcement

criminal investigations have discovered fraudulent GDN holders that have been selling Texas buyer's tags, not only in Texas but across the United States. Just go log on to watch the New York mayor and police commissioner regarding the criminal activity of tags in their state.

1.3

2.3

When this information was brought to the attention of DMV, law enforcement began requesting they turn off their eTAG access on the front-end, pending an emergency hearing, but that could not statutorily be done. There were three codes in the Transportation Code that were in conflict.

3927 was filed by Representative Hefner to help correct this. 3927 overwhelmingly passed the House and it wasn't until the bill reached the Senate Transportation Committee that the euphoria of limiting tags or allowing an acceptable number of tags was added to the bill. My figures are about a month behind, but since January 2018 there have been an estimated 1.8 million Texas buyer's tags that have been fraudulently sold. That's a minimum of a \$180 million profit to the criminal enterprise that will never go to the local tax office.

I leave you with this example. Member Zak, you asked about numbers. Last week alone while y'all sat in committee between 9:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m., there were two criminal dealers that sold an estimated 769 tags in three

The one dealer that sold 18,000 tags last week 1 hours. alone was granted their GDN on August 26. 3 Please remember that 3927 is not about franchised dealers, and it's not about legitimate dealers, 4 5 it's about giving DMV the authority to turn off an 6 identified criminal dealer on the front-end, pending an 7 emergency hearing. My last challenge to you is, what is an acceptable number of fraudulent tags that can be sold 8 9 And I appreciate your time and I'm open for any 10 questions and I'll try my best to answer. 11 MR. BLASSINGAME: Do the members have any 12 questions? 1.3 MR. ZAK: Officer Blassingame, may I have the 14 floor? 15 MR. BLASSINGAME: Yes. 16 MR. ZAK: This is Member Zak. 17 I wanted to make one clarification from Member Donnelly and Member Prather from earlier. I do know of 18 some dealers who as a new dealer started out selling on 19 20 average around 50 vehicles a month, so I do know of some 21 dealers who have done that. 22 I think probably what could be an adequate tag 2.3 limitation a month could be 100 tags for a new dealer, and my suggestion would be that the new dealer would only be 24

allowed to obtain 100 temporary tags per month, not their

1	annual quota in one month. If we can do that for a couple
2	of months, two or three months, then we would certainly
3	limit those who are trying to come in and defraud the
4	system if you limit to 100 tags per month, buyer's tags
5	for the first several months.
6	My only comment. Thank you.
7	MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Zak.
8	Any other comments?
9	MR. RICHARDS: Officer Blassingame, David
10	Richards. May I have the floor, please?
11	MR. BLASSINGAME: You have the floor, Mr.
12	Richards.
13	MR. RICHARDS: Thank you.
14	It's my understanding that Sgt. Jose Escribano
15	is calling in to this meeting. Sgt. Escribano, can you
16	raise your hand so the meeting host can unmute you,
17	please?
18	MR. MARTIN: Officer Blassingame, this is Jeff
19	Martin. I do have a question for Officer Kohler.
20	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Martin, you have the
21	floor.
22	MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Officer Kohler, and
23	thank you for your testimony today.
24	My question is not the number of tags that a
25	particular licensee may be issuing; my question is, have

you all identified or can you speak to the number of 1 2 licensees that we're talking about here, the individuals who have received a license and who you all have 3 identified or believe are issuing fraudulent tags? Do you 4 5 have any idea what that number might be? 6 MR. KOHLER: David Kohler. 7 MR. BLASSINGAME: Officer Kohler, you have the floor. 8 9 MR. KOHLER: Yes, thank you so very much. 10 Member Martin, great question. I don't have the exact number. I gave y'all an 11 12 example last Thursday: Kings Ranch Autoland, Texas Motor 13 Company, High Intensity of Houston, McKenna of Houston, 14 Jumping Cars Auto, Freeman Auto Sales. That's about seven 15 or eight right now. I've got a list; I can give you the 16 rest of the list if you'd like the rest of them. 17 SGT. ESCRIBANO: This is Sqt. Escribano. 18 MR. BLASSINGAME: Yes, sir, Sergeant, you may 19 speak, you have the floor. 20 SGT. ESCRIBANO: To answer that question it's 21 33. Whoever was asking the question of the dealers, I've 22 got it right in front of me. We can discuss that, but 2.3 that's not my comment. I just wanted to answer the 24 question.

MR. MARTIN: Officer Blassingame, this is Jeff

1 Martin. 2 MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Martin, you have the 3 floor. 4 MR. MARTIN: I appreciate that, Officer 5 Escribano, for that information. And I think that as a 6 committee we need to keep that number in mind as we move 7 forward. We're talking about 33 individuals, and so let's be mindful of that as we move forward. 8 9 Thank you again for your testimony. 10 SGT. ESCRIBANO: Can I add something to that? This is Sqt. Escribano. 11 MR. BLASSINGAME: You have the floor. 12 13 SGT. ESCRIBANO: Thank you, sir. 14 The 33 are the shell companies. Behind those 15 we have a criminal enterprise that tops about 40-50 16 individuals, probably even bigger than that. 17 We've only identified those 33, but remember you have 20,000-plus used car dealers and we believe that 18 19 there are more out there that are not necessarily the 20 shell companies but they're doing pretty much the same 21 business also. Just so you'll know. So 33 is really, 22 really a low number for us. We discover them every single 2.3 day. 24 MR. BLASSINGAME: Ouestions from members?

MR. PRATHER: This is Steve Prather.

1 speak? MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Prather, you have the 3 floor. Thank you very much. 4 MR. PRATHER: 5 We were talking about used car initial volumes 6 for these newly appointed dealers. Even if you set the 7 number to something like 300, 400, 500, 600 a year, even if somebody jumped out of the box and sold 100 cars off an 8 9 independent lot in a month or two, they would still have 10 enough volume of tags to carry them through several 11 months. And if the reorder process or the increase 12 process is a day or a week, that shouldn't really present 1.3 them a problem, but it would keep the restricted number down on these bad actor/criminal folks. 14 15 It seems reasonable to me as long as the 16 department is going to respond promptly to it, and it 17 allows them to only have to deal with a very few number of people, which to me would be great from a workload 18 19 standpoint. 20 Thank you. 21 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Prather. 22 Any comment on that? 2.3 MR. BRADBURN: Member Bradburn. Can I speak, 24 please? 25 Member Bradburn, you have the MR. BLASSINGAME:

1 floor. Thank you. 2 MR. BRADBURN: 3 To reiterate a little bit what Sgt. Escribano, 4 my supervisor, said, on the main federal investigation 5 you're going to have 30 to 50 GDNs. I also like to use 6 the term low level players. I'm tracking multiple 7 dealers, many agencies across the nation that do maybe 8 1,000 tags a year, we have wholesale dealers that are 9 selling 50 tags a week in New York. 10 So the scope of it, very small to some dealers, but then obviously the larger ones. Just don't get 11 fixated on 30 dealers or 40 dealers, there's more than 12 1.3 that. 14 Thank you. 15 MR. RICHARDS: Officer Blassingame? 16 MR. BLASSINGAME: Yes, sir. 17 MR. RICHARDS: David Richards, for the record. May I have the floor, please? 18 19 MR. BLASSINGAME: You may have the floor. 20 MR. RICHARDS: Thank you very much. 21 It's my understanding that Sqt. Escribano 22 wanted to make a comment. I think he's answered a couple 2.3 of questions. 24 Sergeant, if you're still on the line, you're

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

here to make your comment now.

SGT. ESCRIBANO: This is Sgt. Escribano. May I have the floor, sir?

2.3

MR. BLASSINGAME: You may have the floor.

SGT. ESCRIBANO: My comment again is, and I'll start with IdentoGO and limits. The limits are fantastic. I think the committee is on the right track of 3927 definitely, but they go hand-in-hand, if you don't have one without the other, you really are going to go ahead and limit us.

We have a disconnect because law enforcement versus the administrator for the Texas DMV are disconnected somewhat, not disconnected in a bad way but we have two different jobs, administrative. And of course the DMV has administrative, we have criminal.

We have a wolf among the sheep right now, so to speak, and we hunt wolves. And the only way that we're going to be able to hunt this wolf -- and I've been on this for four years and eight months, and I'm telling you right now, without IdentoGO I can't identify the 30-plus that are out there because I have no idea who they are, and they can pretty much go into the application process, so that's a disconnect. If you're going to go ahead and do the limits, of course that's going to help, but IdentoGO definitely has to go attached to umbilical cord with it.

1	And that is my comment.
2	MR. BLASSINGAME: Thanks for your comment,
3	Sergeant.
4	Staff?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. BLASSINGAME: Any further comment?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. BLASSINGAME: Further items to be brought
9	up that need action at this time?
10	MR. BRADBURN: Member Bradburn. I would like
11	to make a motion when it's appropriate.
12	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Bradburn, make a
13	motion.
14	MR. BRADBURN: Thank you, sir. I would like to
15	make a motion to require the department to present
16	proposed rules for all aspects of House Bill 3927 to the
17	board no later than the next scheduled board meeting.
18	MR. BLASSINGAME: Is there a second on that
19	motion? Is there a second on Member Bradbury's motion?
20	MR. DONNELLY: Can you please repeat the
21	motion?
22	MR. BLASSINGAME: Please repeat the motion.
23	MR. BRADBURN: Yes, sir. Member Bradburn
24	speaking.
25	I would like to make a motion to require the

1	department to present proposed rules for all aspects of
2	House Bill 3927 to the board no later than the next
3	scheduled board meeting.
4	MR. BLASSINGAME: Do I have a second?
5	MR. DONNELLY: Member Donnelly. Second.
6	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Donnelly, I have a
7	second.
8	All in favor well, let's do it by the rules.
9	Members in favor, please say aye after your name.
10	Member Bradburn?
11	MR. BRADBURN: Aye.
12	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Brown?
13	MR. BROWN: Nay.
14	MR. BLASSINGAME: Nay?
15	MR. BROWN: Nay.
16	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Donnelly?
17	MR. DONNELLY: Aye.
18	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Doran? Member Doran?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Edwards? Member
21	Edwards?
22	(No response.)
23	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Ferguson?
24	MR. FERGUSON: Aye.
25	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Greenlaw?
	\mathbf{n}

1	(No response.)
2	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Hayter? Member
3	Hayter?
4	(No response.)
5	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Martin?
6	MR. MARTIN: Officer Blassingame, before I vote
7	on this, is there an opportunity for discussion before we
8	vote?
9	MR. BLASSINGAME: Certainly.
10	MR. MARTIN: Yes, sir. Thank you.
11	So my question, Officer Bradburn and this
12	may be to Officer Bradburn or Member Bradburn I'm
13	sorry who made the motion, or potentially to staff, so
14	my question to staff is is that not already the intent?
15	And then my question then to Member Bradburn would be is
16	that just to ensure that we move this forward as quickly
17	as possible? And those are the two questions I have.
18	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Bradburn?
19	MR. BRADBURN: Member Bradburn speaking.
20	Yes, I believe we need to require this to move
21	forward. I do not want to see it stall, and that was my
22	intention.
23	MR. BLASSINGAME: Any other comment? Someone
24	wanted the floor?
25	MR. MURPHY: This is Member Murphy. Can you

1 hear me? 2 MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Murphy, you have the floor. 3 4 MR. MURPHY: Would it be appropriate to ask 5 what the scope of what we're asking for is? 6 MR. BLASSINGAME: Members? I'm sorry. Staff 7 or members? MR. BRADBURN: Member Bradburn. 8 9 MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Bradburn, you have the 10 floor. MR. BRADBURN: Thank you. 11 12 The scope would be to implement recommendations 13 for 3927, which is not a lot, to the board and just 14 required the deadline, so this moves forward. From what I 15 understand, listening to all the department members, they 16 have numbers and they're going to have an idea as best to 17 go forward and take our recommendations into consideration. 18 19 MR. SRALLA: Member Sralla. May I have the floor? 20 21 MR. BLASSINGAME: You may have the floor. 22 MR. SRALLA: My question was to staff. 23 agree with the motion in general that we don't want to see 24 this stalled. My question to staff is is this a

reasonable request, in your opinion.

MS. BEAVER: Tracey Beaver, general counsel.

May I have the floor?

MR. BLASSINGAME: General Counsel Beaver, you have the floor.

MS. BEAVER: Thank you.

2.3

Yes, the department is doing everything in its power to make sure that these rules move quickly. This is a very important topic for the department. We understand that this is a consumer protection issue, a fraud issue, and a necessary step in order to limit any potential temp tag abuse. The department intends to bring these rules before the board at the October board meeting.

The advisory committee today is deliberating discussing those particular elements of policy that the department would appreciate input on and so we've gotten a great deal of input, and this has been a very good discussion deliberation from this meeting today. So we definitely have information that we needed to move forward. This advisory committee makes recommendations and gives advice to the department and so you're definitely able to make this motion.

The requirement that the department adopt rules by a certain date is not necessarily going to require the department to do so, but the intent of this motion, I understand, is that this is how important the advisory

1	committee feels that these rules are and the
2	implementation is, so the department would definitely take
3	this motion if it were to pass into consideration as we
4	develop these rules and consider our timeline.
5	Thank you.
6	MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Counsel Beaver.
7	Any other comment?
8	MR. DORAN: This is Member Doran. I apologize,
9	I was on mute earlier. I did have a question.
10	Number one, will this committee have an
11	opportunity to review the rules before they are presented
12	to the board, and if not, if that opportunity does not
13	exist, will it be made clear to the board that this
14	committee has not had that opportunity? I know the board
15	is aware of the hard work that all of the advisory
16	committees do, but we wouldn't want the board to get the
17	impression that we had seen these rules and essentially
18	endorsed every word of them if we had not had an
19	opportunity to actually see them beforehand.
20	So just that caveat, I guess, is my concern if
21	we don't have an opportunity to review them beforehand.
22	Thank you.
23	MR. BROWN: Member Brown. May I have the
24	floor, please?

MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Brown, you have the

floor.

2.3

MR. BROWN: I just had a comment regarding the abuse of buyer's tag, I just wanted to clarify that.

Right now I'm a dealer in Texas but right now for me to transfer -- of course, I'm talking about a state inspection, I have to have a state inspection before I can transfer with my county tax assessor-collector.

But if during the eTAG system on a buyer's tag, when I go get a vehicle inspected I'm given a sheet of paper that says that I had it inspected. The other portion of that is paid at the county tax assessor—collector, but when I get a state inspection before I sell a vehicle, if we were to scan that form and attach it to the buyer's tag, couldn't that possibly eliminate all the fraud with all the abuse of buyer's tags?

Because it's very unrealistic that these people are -- I mean, are they putting a serial number, a VIN number on every fraudulent tag? They're printing 6-, 7-, 8,000 tags a week, are they attaching a VIN to that?

But if you scan your state inspection that you get from an inspection station and you attach it to your request for your buyer's tag, couldn't that possibly eliminate everything that we're going through here? It's just a thought; I want them to consider that.

MR. BRADBURN: Member Bradburn, may I respond?

1	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Bradburn, go ahead.
2	MR. BRADBURN: Thank you.
3	That was an excellent question. DMV does have
4	safeguards that we see everyday. If it's a Texas stolen
5	or a Texas salvage, the system will not let them issue a
6	buyer tag, however, currently the DMV system does not vet
7	a VIN.
8	So the tag I bought earlier I got on
9	VINgenerator.org. I get a made-up VIN, it doesn't verify
10	it. I see daily, every day you'll see a 17-character VIN,
11	two of the letters are replaced with colons or periods to
12	max whether it's stolen or whatever. So right now they
13	don't have that capability, and that's a monetary issue
14	that needs to be fixed later on.
15	MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Member Bradburn.
16	Any other comment?
17	(No response.)
18	MR. THOMPSON: Clint Thompson, deputy director
19	of Vehicle Titles and Registration Division. If I can
20	have the floor?
21	MR. BLASSINGAME: Deputy Director Thompson, you
22	have the floor.
23	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.
24	Member Brown, I appreciate the comment about
25	the inspection. That was addressed a little bit earlier

as well. Member Bradburn brought it up.

1.3

2.3

I appreciate the comment, if I hear you correctly, the submission of a vehicle inspection report before a buyer tag can be issued, that process is currently automated and buyer tags are not paid for at the front-end. The constraints, or I guess the balance that we face, again, looking at the lower volume of actual number of dealers that are [audio interference] versus the number of folks that are selling vehicles legitimately, we're trying to balance business needs versus addressing fraud so it's creating an additional burden or process, if you will.

For those folks that are conducting a legitimate business to submit a vehicle inspection report that would necessitate a review by someone prior to buyer tag issuance would not necessarily be optimal for those dealers conducting business legitimately. But we will certainly continue to look at opportunities that we have to verifying inspections.

Again just briefly, I touched on this earlier, there are certain vehicle sales, out-of-state in particular, certain vehicle types that are exempt from inspection at the time of buyer tag issuance, so that has to be contemplated as well. A VIN is entered into the database before these buyer tags are issued, so we do

capture that. And as was indicated, we do have certain 1 2 hard stops, stolen vehicles, salvage vehicles, to prevent issuance of those. We do have VIN decoding software that 3 4 used in the Registration and Title System that's a 5 consideration that we could use for the buyer tag. 6 But again, I'll give you this example very 7 quickly. We have webDEALER that allows folks to submit 8 title applications. We have constraints within webDEALER, 9 RTS has additional constraints. 10 We can't put constraints in eTAG in place that prevent folks from not having a viable option. That is 11 12 the only means for folks to issue buyer tags, and that's 1.3 certainly something that we have to continue to consider 14 as we enhance the application to address fraud, which 15 we're obviously going to continue to do. 16 So again, thank you for your comment. 17 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, Deputy Director 18 Thompson. 19 Any further comment? 20 MS. BEAVER: This is Tracey Beaver, general 21 If I may have the floor? counsel. 22 MR. BLASSINGAME: General Counsel Beaver, you 2.3 have the floor. 24 MS. BEAVER: Thank you. 25 I wanted to address an earlier question from a

member regarding what would be presented to the board and 1 the ability to see the rule package. I just wanted to 3 comment that the department will be letting the board know 4 that this committee was involved early on in the 5 rulemaking process so that we could obtain feedback before 6 getting down the road of having formal recommendation for 7 a proposal. 8 We wanted to get this committee to help us have 9 factors, consequences and any available ideas to consider 10 as we're in the drafting stage early on, and the board will be made aware of the committee discussion. 11 12 you. 13 MR. BLASSINGAME: Thank you, General Counsel 14 Beaver. 15 Any other comment from the floor, members or 16 staff? 17 (No response.) MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Bradburn, do you wish 18 19 to leave your motion in place? 20 MR. BRADBURN: Yes, I do. I'd request that we do a re-vote as well with the additional discussion and 21 22 consideration. 2.3 MR. BLASSINGAME: Yeah, that's granted. 24 Let's do a yea or nay, yea if you're in favor 25 of Member Bradburn's motion, nay if you are not in favor

1	of it.
2	Member Bradburn?
3	MR. BRADBURN: Yea.
4	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Brown?
5	MR. BROWN: Yea.
6	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Donnelly?
7	MR. DONNELLY: Yea.
8	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Doran?
9	MR. RICHARDS: Yea.
10	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Edwards? Member
11	Edwards?
12	(No response.)
13	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Ferguson.
14	MR. FERGUSON: Yea.
15	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Greenlaw? Member
16	Greenlaw?
17	(No response.)
18	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Hayter? Member
19	Hayter? Member Hayter, are you on the call?
20	(No response.)
21	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Martin?
22	MR. MARTIN: Nay.
23	MR. BLASSINGAME: Nay?
24	MR. MARTIN: Nay.
25	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Murphy?

1	MR. MURPHY: Yea.
2	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Prather?
3	MR. PRATHER: Yea, I guess.
4	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Sims? Member Sims?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Sralla? Member
7	Sralla?
8	Mr. SRALLA: Yea.
9	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Stark?
10	MR. STARK: Yea.
11	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Thomas? Member
12	Thomas? Member Thomas, are you on the call?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Vitela? Member
15	Vitela?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. BLASSINGAME: Member Zak?
18	MR. ZAK: Nay.
19	MR. BLASSINGAME: Nay?
20	MR. ZAK: Nay.
21	MR. BLASSINGAME: I, David Blassingame,
22	support.
23	We have ten yeas and three nays, so the motion
24	as stated will pass.
25	Are there any other comments?

1	MR. RICHARDS: Officer Blassingame?
2	MR. BLASSINGAME: David Richards, you have the
3	floor.
4	MR. RICHARDS: Thank you, Officer Blassingame.
5	Our next agenda item is public comment, number
6	3, and I'm told that we do not have any further public
7	comments at this time. So you're free to move on to
8	agenda item 4.
9	MR. BLASSINGAME: Sorry, my mic was off.
10	Unless there's any further business, I would
11	like to entertain a motion to adjourn. Do I have a motion
12	from anyone to adjourn this meeting?
13	MR. SRALLA: So moved.
14	MR. BLASSINGAME: Who was that?
15	MR. SRALLA: Sralla.
16	MR. BLASSINGAME: Got it.
17	MR. DONNELLY: Second. Donnelly.
18	MR. STARK: Member Stark, I second it.
19	MR. BLASSINGAME: And Donnelly seconded. All
20	in favor?
21	(A chorus of ayes.)
22	MR. BLASSINGAME: It is now 11:24 a.m., we are
23	adjourned.
24	(Whereupon, at 11:24 a.m., the meeting was
25	adjourned.)

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 TxDMV Motor Vehicle Industry Regulation MEETING OF: Advisory Committee 4 5 LOCATION: Austin, Texas 6 DATE: September 14, 2021 7 I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 110, inclusive, are the true, accurate, 8 9 and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the 10 11 Texas Department of Motor Vehicles. 12 DATE: September 27, 2021 13 14 15 16 17 18 /s/ Nancy H. King 19 (Transcriber) 20 21 On the Record Reporting & 22 Transcription, Inc. 23 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., Ste 515 24 Austin, Texas 78752